# High-revolution temperature profiling in the LACIS-T wind tunel and the Pi Chamber

by Robert Grosz

contact: rgrosz@fuw.edu.pl

#### Motivation

- thermal characterization of both facilities;
- Improve strategies for future experiments, instrumentation positioning;
- only a comprehensive understanding of full spectrum of scales analyses can effectively link together different phenomena;

#### Ultrafast Thermometer (UFT)



#### UFT-2A (left) and UFT-2B (right)

1992 – prototype, ~ 50 µm thick thermocouple
1997 – UFT, resistive platinum-coated tungsten wire, 2.5 µm thick, 5 mm long, ~50 Ω resistance, and time constant ~10<sup>-4</sup> s

UFT-M (POST, 2007), UFT-2-0 (ACORES, 2017), UFT-2A and UFT-2B (EUREC<sup>4</sup>A, 2020)

The current versions of UFT, UFT-2A and UFT-2B,
 utilize 3 mm long wire spanned on an industry miniature wire probes by DANTEC®

Haman, K. E., et al., (1997), Kumala, W., et al., (2013)

# Part I the Pi Chamber

#### Setup

- reproducible and controlled measurements across a wide range of temporal scales (minutes to days)
- operates in two modes (pressure reduction and Rayleigh-Bénard convection)

Chang, K., et al., (2016)





• The established large-scale circulation (LSC) period (single roll) for temperature difference  $\Delta T = 12$  K, was approximated to  $\tau_{12} \approx 72$  s (moist convection with mixing ratio of 7.55 g kg<sup>-1</sup>).

Anderson, J. C., et al., (2021)

#### Strategy

- high resolution (2 kHz) temperature time series at selected locations in a vertical profile near the axis of the chamber
- investigation under three  $\Delta T$ : 10 K, 15 K, and 20 K at Rayleigh number  $Ra \sim 10^9$  and Prandtl number  $Pr \approx 0.7$

Experiment	Boundaries type	$\Delta T \; [\mathrm{K}]$	$h \; [{ m cm}]$	$t  [\min]$	Ra $[\times 10^9]$
V10-S-L	$\operatorname{smooth}$	10	irregular	19	1.1
V10-S	$\operatorname{smooth}$	10	8–95	3	1.1
V15-S-L	$\operatorname{smooth}$	15	irregular	19	1.6
V15-S	$\operatorname{smooth}$	15	8 - 95	3	1.6
V20-S-L	$\operatorname{smooth}$	20	irregular	19	2.1
V20-S	$\operatorname{smooth}$	20	8–95	3	2.1
V20-R	rough	20	8–95	3	2.1

The irregular positions are: 8, 14, 26, 35, 50, 65, 74, 86, 95 [cm].



- variable measurement time (3 min or 19 min)
- less emphasized aspect-the surface topography, i.e. longitudinal stripes on the floor and ceiling (aluminum bars, 4 cm wide and 1.4 cm high, separated by 17 cm intervals)

Strategy

Experiment	Boundaries type	$\Delta T \; [\mathrm{K}]$	$h \; [ m cm]$	$t  [\min]$	Ra $[\times 10^9]$
V10-S-L	$\operatorname{smooth}$	10	irregular	19	1.1
V10-S	$\operatorname{smooth}$	10	8–95	3	1.1
V15-S-L	$\operatorname{smooth}$	15	irregular	19	1.6
V15-S	$\operatorname{smooth}$	15	8–95	3	1.6
V20-S-L	$\operatorname{smooth}$	20	irregular	19	2.1
V20-S	$\operatorname{smooth}$	20	8–95	3	2.1
V20-R	rough	20	8–95	3	2.1

The irregular positions are: 8, 14, 26, 35, 50, 65, 74, 86, 95 [cm].

#### Experiments' name explanation:



V – vertical profiling  $20 - \Delta T$ S – type of boundaries (S for smooth and R for rough)

L – 19 min measurement time

#### Recults



#### Standard deviation



Skewne



#### DNS vs experiment - standard deviation

- Cloud Model 1 (CM1) (Bryan and Fritsch, (2002)) with the computational domain size 960 × 960 × 500 grid cells. The model and setup are described in detail in Chandrakar *et al.*, (2022, 2023)
- temperature boundary conditions are consistent with the experiments, the Eulerian temperature time series are outputted at 0.0012–0.0015 s



#### DNS vs experiment - skewness



100

### large-scale circulation



 $T^{e} = 21.3 + 282.4 \cdot \Delta T^{-0.7}$   $T^{e} = 21.3 + 282.4 \cdot \Delta T^{-0.7}$ 

vertical variability of the LSC period
grey regions describe +/- 1σ

 $au_{12} \approx 72$  s obtained by Anderson *et al.* (2021) for  $\Delta T = 12$  K

- relationship between τ and ΔT modeled by exponential function
- plot includes 95% simultaneous functional bounds, and root mean squared error (RMSE)

scaling method proposed by Zhou and Xia (2001) –  $f^2P(f)$  – to collapse the PSD curves

high convergence across all curves around  $f_p = 4$  Hz





# Power Spectral Denzity - collapse

- three spectrum regimes: inertial, transition, and dissipative
- linearity of the slopes in log-log coordinates estimated with the Pearson correlation coefficient *p*



# Passive scalar spectrum

- no direct references in the literature address the subsequent regime scalings (~-3 and ~-7) or the roll-off region of the scalar spectrum
- recent investigations of the dissipation range in the energy spectrum only began exploring this regime suggesting a superposition of two exponential forms (Khurshid *et al.*, 2018; Buaria and Sreenivasan, 2020).



Figure adapted from Gotoh and Yeung (2012)



- inertial-convective regime is associated with Obukhov-Corrsin scaling (-5/3), where the temperature field does not influence the flow dynamics
- in thermally-driven convection, the flow is actively driven by temperatureinduced buoyancy differences. This range is therefore redefined as the inertial-buoyancy range, where the temperature spectrum follows Bolgiano-Obukhov scaling (-7/5)



- the -3 scaling might simply represent a crossover into the following dissipative range
- LES studies on thermal plumes (Chen and Bhaganagar, 2021, 2023, 2024):
  - density and temperature spectra scale as -2.7, strongly correlated with the velocity spectrum
  - vertical heat and mass fluxes exhibited a -3 scaling, matching the vertical component of the turbulent kinetic energy (TKE) spectrum
  - both spectra of 2D TKE, horizontal structures of 3D TKE, as well as helicity, consistently exhibited slopes of -5/3 and -3 respectively

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

- according to Sreenivasan (2019), no scalar spectrum description exists for the dissipative regime
- in the given study a single power law seemed to be sufficient to describe this regime

### $k \approx \tilde{f} = f(2\pi)U$

 $P(k) \approx P(\tilde{f}) = P(f)U/2\pi$ 

![](_page_19_Figure_3.jpeg)

 $E(k) = C_K \langle \epsilon \rangle^{2/3} k^{-5/3} f_L(kL) f_\eta(k\eta)$ 

Pope:  $f_{\eta}(k\eta) = \exp\left(-\beta\left\{\left[(k\eta)^{4} + c_{\eta}^{4}\right]^{1/4} - c_{\eta}\right\}\right)$ 

• exponential:  $f_{\eta}(k\eta) = \exp(-\beta k\eta)$ 

• Pao:  $f_{\eta}(k\eta) = \exp(-\beta \{k\eta\}^{4/3})$ 

 $E_{\theta}(k) = C_0 \langle \epsilon_{\theta} \rangle \langle \epsilon \rangle^{-1/3} k^{-5/3} f_{\eta}(k\eta)$ 

![](_page_21_Figure_1.jpeg)

h = 8 cm h = 50 cm $\Delta T = 20 \text{ K}$ 

#### I part-take away

- basic characteristics significant changes in the standard deviation, skewness, and the scaling exponents of the power spectrum of the distribution of temperature fluctuations near the top and bottom surfaces -> dynamics of local thermal plumes and their interaction with the LSC
- topographic effects no major differences were observed corresponding to topographic effects -> likely due to insufficient time series
- dynamic regimes PSD analysis revealed periodicity of LSC with respect to the temperature differences; three distinct power-law dynamic regimes were identified: inertial (~-7/5), transition (~-3), and dissipative (~-7); the scale break between the inertial and transition ranges -> a dynamic transition from the LSC-dominated regime to the thermal plume regime; the following dissipative regime analyses confirmed usability of analytical approach for the scalar spectrum;
- experiment versus DNS experimental findings showed convincing agreement with DNS conducted under similar thermodynamic conditions;
- please see Grosz R., et al., (2024) for a broader discussion

#### Parill Che Cacistan Tennel Che Cacistan Tennel

#### Setup

![](_page_24_Figure_1.jpeg)

Niedermeier et al., (2020), and Nowak et al., (2022)

## Strategy

![](_page_25_Picture_1.jpeg)

Experiment	$T_A \ [^{\circ}C]$	$F_A[\mathrm{m}^3\mathrm{min}^{-1}]$	$T_B$ [°C]	$F_B[\mathrm{m}^3\mathrm{min}^{-1}]$	$x \; [\mathrm{cm}]$	$t \; [\min]$
D-1-MIX	0	6.1	25	4.5	-7 to 7	10
D-2-MIX	4	4.5	20	4.5	-7 to 7	5

- high resolution (1.5 kHz) temperature time series along the x axis
- study performer under two  $\Delta T$ : 25 K, and 16 K
- variable measurement time (10 min or 5 min)
- note different flow rates between both streams in D-1-MIX

# Standard Jeviation

![](_page_26_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Skewne

![](_page_27_Figure_1.jpeg)

# Power Spectral Denzity – raw

![](_page_28_Figure_1.jpeg)

D-1-MIX

D-2-MIX

# Power Spectral Density - cross-section

![](_page_29_Figure_1.jpeg)

# Power Spectral Density - collapse

 scaling method proposed by Zhou and Xia (2001) – f<sup>2</sup>P(f) – to collapse the PSD curves

![](_page_30_Figure_2.jpeg)

D-1-MIX •  $f_{\rm p} = 47$  Hz •  $f_{\rm p} = 72$  Hz

D-2-MIX •  $f_{\rm p} = 50 \, {\rm Hz}$ 

# Power Spectral Denzity - regimer

Four defined regimes:

- facility-affected range
- inertial range
- dissipative range
- instrument-affected range

![](_page_31_Figure_6.jpeg)

#### D-1-MIX

x = 0 cm

![](_page_31_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_1.jpeg)

lighter color shades – D-1-MIX darker color shades – D-2-MIX

![](_page_33_Figure_1.jpeg)

D-1-MIX D-2-MIX x = 0 cm

lighter color shades – D-1-MIX darker color shades – D-2-MIX

![](_page_34_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### LACIS-T

#### Pi Chamber

#### II part-take away

- basic characteristics standard deviation peaks shift atributted to differences in mixing dynamics between both cases; strong skewness inhomogenity present on the right side of the tunnel -> likely due to obstacle presence; outside conditions affect regions near the windows;
- dynamic regimes PSD analysis showed changing intensity of power spectra across the tunnel; four distinct power-law dynamic regimes were identified: facility-affected (~-0.5 or ~-0.8), inertial (~-5/3), dissipative (~-4 or ~-5.5), and instrument-affected (~-8.5); the following dissipative regime analyses confirmed usability of analytical approach for the scalar spectrum showing that in the smallest scales LACIS-T results are consistent with the previous findings from the Pi Chamber;