The effect of defocusing on the contrast sensitivity function for two-photon vision

OLIWIA KACZKOŚ^{1,2,3}, JACEK PNIEWSKI ³, MACIEJ WOJTKOWSKI ^{1,2}, KATARZYNA KOMAR^{1,2,4}

¹International Centre for Translational Eye Research, Institute of Physical Chemistry, Polish Academy of Sciences, Skierniewicka 10A, 01-230 Warsaw, Poland. ²Department of Physical Chemistry of Biological Systems, Institute of Physical Chemistry, Polish Academy of Sciences, Marcina Kasprzaka 44/52, 01-224 Warsaw, Poland. ³Faculty of Physics, University of Warsaw, Pasteura 5, 02-093 Warsaw, Poland. ⁴Institute of Physics, Faculty of Physics, Astronomy and Informatics, Nicolaus Copernicus University in Torun, Grudziądzka 5/7, 87-100 Torun, Poland. * kkomar@fizyka.umk.pl

PURPOSE

One of the possible applications of two-photon vision is its implementation in retinal displays. This study aims to investigate the effect of **defocusing on contrast** sensitivity for two-photon vision, enabling a better assessment of the potential application of the phenomenon in Augmented Reality (AR) technology.

METHODS



Fig 1. Optical system. The IR and VIS beams were generated by a femtosecond laser (τ=240 fs, F=76 MHz). LED, white light emitting diode; NDF, neutral density filter; NDV, neutral density gradient filter; PP, pupil plane; PP*, conjugated pupil plane; RP, retinal plane; RP*, conjugated retinal plane.

Scanning beam laser allowed to present stimuli of various angular sizes, corresponding to spatial frequencies: 1, 3, 6, 12 and 24 cycles per degree (cpd).

Disclosure: Oliwia Kaczkos, Jacek Pniewski: Code N; Maciej Wojtkowski: Code P.10856734, EU23194985.0; Katarzyna Komar: Code P.10856734; EU23194985.0.

METHODS

- A threshold stimulus luminance for each spatial frequency determined by finding the minimum power of the laser beam for w the subject was able to state the correct letter orientation in at least 5 trials.
- Next, contrast sensitivity was calculated according to the formula:

background luminance threshold stimulus luminance

- Considering that there is no luminous efficiency function for two-pho vision, determining two-photon CSF required a non-standard approad
- adjustment method was used. It involved matching the power of visible beam so that its brightness corresponded the brightness of

for one-photon (green) and two-photon (red) vision under +1.00 D defocusing conditions. (c) Comparison Fig 2. Schematic representation of the simultaneous display of stimuli in the of CSF for visible beam for optimal focusing and for brightness adjustment method. (a) Two stimuli were simultaneously presented defocusing. (d) Comparison of CSF for infrared beam on the white background - an infrared stimulus (IR) of determined and constant for optimal focusing and for defocusing. Function of a luminance and a second, visible stimulus (VIS). (b) The position of the visible difference of Gaussians was used to adjust the CSF stimulus was found for which the two presented stimuli were located as curves (Rohaly and Buchsbaum, 1989). presented in the scheme. (c) The power of the visible stimulus was adjusted until

To evaluate **defocusing effects**, the procedure was performed with the optimal refractive correction for each subject and with an additional defocusing of +1.00 diopters.

Fig 3 Visualization of the effect of defocusing on image blurring for the visible stimulus (VIS) and changes in stimulus brightness for the Infrared stimulus (IR).



The study was approved by the Ethical Committee of the Collegium Medicum, NCU.

		RE
was vhich : 4 of	 The obtained values of CSF for two-photon vision photon vision. The average ratio two-photon to of 4a). The similar values of contrast sensitivity for determined by the diameter of stimulating beams By defocusing at +1.00D, the CSF for two-photon photon to one-photon ratio is equal to 2.44 ± 0.2 Defocusing affected the decrease in CSF comparisons patial frequencies, as expected (Fig 4c). The average 37% (from 10 to 72%). 	on under one-photor or high sp s (1 mm). on vision i 8 (Fig. 4b) red to CSF erage CSF
ch. tness f the f the	• Defocusing caused the reduced brightness of the two-photon stimuli, so a decrease in CSF for the infrared beam compared to CSF at optimal focusing was observed (by 22% on average for all spatial frequencies). However, no negative defocusing effects were observed for the highest spatial frequency (Fig 4d).	Contrast sensitivity
	Fig 4 . (a) CSF for one-photon (green) and two-photon (red) vision under optimal focusing conditions. (b) CSF	C)



- optimal focusing and under defocus of +1 diopters.
- significantly improves the contrast sensitivity for stimuli of high spatial frequencies.
- augmented reality (AR) technology, particularly in resolving the accommodation-vergence conflict.









European Union European Regional Development Fund



Acknowledgements: The International Centre for Translational Eye Research (MAB/2019/12) project is carried out within the International Research Agendas programme of the Foundation for Polish Science co-financed by the European Union under the European Regional Development Fund.



ESULTS

optimal focusing are higher than values of CSF for standard oneon is (1.77 ± 0.25) indicating the advantage of two-photon vision (Fig. patial frequency are due to resolution limit of the optical system

is also higher compared to standard vision, and the average two-

F under optimal focusing for the visible beam, particularly for high impairment over all spatial frequencies for one-photon vision was

CONCLUSIONS

• The results show that contrast sensitivity for two-photon vision is higher compared to standard one-photon vision under

In the two-photon vision, the quadratic dependence of brightness on power reduces the blurring of the image, which

• The CSF conservation under defocusing may be advantageous for applying the two-photon vision in retinal displays and