# Rigorous derivation of magneto-Boussinesq approximation with non-local term

Florian Oschmann

Czech Academy of Sciences

Joint work with P. Gwiazda, A. Wróblewska-Kamińska

15. March 2024



Model and known results ●O	Formal derivation	Rigorous proof OO	Comparison with known results	The last slide 00
The model				

$$D=\mathbb{T}^2 imes (0,1)$$
 periodic strip. For  $T>0$  in  $(0,T) imes D$ ,

$$\partial_{t}\varrho + \operatorname{div}(\varrho \mathbf{u}) = 0,$$
  
$$\partial_{t}(\varrho \mathbf{u}) + \operatorname{div}(\varrho \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}) - \operatorname{div} \mathbb{S}(\vartheta, \nabla \mathbf{u}) + \frac{1}{\operatorname{Ma}^{2}} \nabla p(\varrho, \vartheta) = \frac{1}{\operatorname{Fr}^{2}} \varrho \nabla G + \frac{1}{\operatorname{Al}^{2}} \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{B},$$
  
$$\partial_{t} \mathbf{B} + \operatorname{curl}(\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{u}) + \operatorname{curl}(\zeta(\vartheta) \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{B}) = 0,$$
  
$$\operatorname{div} \mathbf{B} = 0,$$
  
$$\partial_{t}(\varrho s) + \operatorname{div}(\varrho s \mathbf{u}) + \operatorname{div} \frac{\mathbf{q}}{\vartheta} = \sigma,$$
  
$$\frac{1}{\vartheta} \left( \operatorname{Ma}^{2} \mathbb{S}(\nabla \mathbf{u}) : \nabla \mathbf{u} - \frac{\mathbf{q} \cdot \nabla \vartheta}{\vartheta} + \frac{\operatorname{Ma}^{2}}{\operatorname{Al}^{2}} \zeta(\vartheta) |\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{B}|^{2} \right) = \sigma$$

Model and known results ●O	Formal derivation	Rigorous proof OO	Comparison with known results	The last slide 00
The model				

$$D=\mathbb{T}^2 imes (0,1)$$
 periodic strip. For  $T>0$  in  $(0,T) imes D$ ,

$$\partial_{t}\varrho + \operatorname{div}(\varrho \mathbf{u}) = 0,$$
  
$$\partial_{t}(\varrho \mathbf{u}) + \operatorname{div}(\varrho \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}) - \operatorname{div} \mathbb{S}(\vartheta, \nabla \mathbf{u}) + \frac{1}{\operatorname{Ma}^{2}} \nabla p(\varrho, \vartheta) = \frac{1}{\operatorname{Fr}^{2}} \varrho \nabla G + \frac{1}{\operatorname{Al}^{2}} \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{B},$$
  
$$\partial_{t} \mathbf{B} + \operatorname{curl}(\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{u}) + \operatorname{curl}(\zeta(\vartheta) \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{B}) = 0,$$
  
$$\operatorname{div} \mathbf{B} = 0,$$
  
$$\partial_{t}(\varrho \mathbf{s}) + \operatorname{div}(\varrho \mathbf{s} \mathbf{u}) + \operatorname{div} \frac{\mathbf{q}}{\vartheta} = \sigma,$$
  
$$\frac{1}{\vartheta} \left( \operatorname{Ma}^{2} \mathbb{S}(\nabla \mathbf{u}) : \nabla \mathbf{u} - \frac{\mathbf{q} \cdot \nabla \vartheta}{\vartheta} + \frac{\operatorname{Ma}^{2}}{\operatorname{Al}^{2}} \zeta(\vartheta) |\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{B}|^{2} \right) = \sigma$$

• force *G*, Gibbs 
$$\vartheta Ds = De + pD(1/\varrho)$$
, Fourier  $\mathbf{q} = -\kappa(\vartheta)\nabla\vartheta$ 

Model and known results ●O	Formal derivation	Rigorous proof OO	Comparison with known results	The last slide 00
The model				

$$D=\mathbb{T}^2 imes (0,1)$$
 periodic strip. For  $T>0$  in  $(0,T) imes D$ ,

$$\partial_{t}\varrho + \operatorname{div}(\varrho \mathbf{u}) = 0,$$
  
$$\partial_{t}(\varrho \mathbf{u}) + \operatorname{div}(\varrho \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}) - \operatorname{div} \mathbb{S}(\vartheta, \nabla \mathbf{u}) + \frac{1}{\mathrm{Ma}^{2}} \nabla \rho(\varrho, \vartheta) = \frac{1}{\mathrm{Fr}^{2}} \varrho \nabla G + \frac{1}{\mathrm{Al}^{2}} \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{B},$$
  
$$\partial_{t} \mathbf{B} + \operatorname{curl}(\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{u}) + \operatorname{curl}(\zeta(\vartheta) \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{B}) = 0,$$
  
$$\operatorname{div} \mathbf{B} = 0,$$
  
$$\partial_{t}(\varrho s) + \operatorname{div}(\varrho s \mathbf{u}) + \operatorname{div} \frac{\mathbf{q}}{\vartheta} = \sigma,$$
  
$$\frac{1}{\vartheta} \left( \mathrm{Ma}^{2} \mathbb{S}(\nabla \mathbf{u}) : \nabla \mathbf{u} - \frac{\mathbf{q} \cdot \nabla \vartheta}{\vartheta} + \frac{\mathrm{Ma}^{2}}{\mathrm{Al}^{2}} \zeta(\vartheta) |\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{B}|^{2} \right) = \sigma$$

• force *G*, Gibbs 
$$\vartheta Ds = De + pD(1/\varrho)$$
, Fourier  $\mathbf{q} = -\kappa(\vartheta)\nabla\vartheta$ 

• stress tensor 
$$\mathbb{S}(\nabla \mathbf{u}) = \mu(\vartheta) \Big( \nabla \mathbf{u} + \nabla^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{u} - \frac{2}{3} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} \mathbb{I} \Big) + \eta(\vartheta) \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} \mathbb{I}$$

Model and known results ●O	Formal derivation	Rigorous proof OO	Comparison with known results	The last slide 00
The model				

$$D=\mathbb{T}^2 imes (0,1)$$
 periodic strip. For  $T>0$  in  $(0,T) imes D$ ,

$$\partial_{t}\varrho + \operatorname{div}(\varrho \mathbf{u}) = 0,$$
  
$$\partial_{t}(\varrho \mathbf{u}) + \operatorname{div}(\varrho \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}) - \operatorname{div} \mathbb{S}(\vartheta, \nabla \mathbf{u}) + \frac{1}{\operatorname{Ma}^{2}} \nabla \rho(\varrho, \vartheta) = \frac{1}{\operatorname{Fr}^{2}} \varrho \nabla G + \frac{1}{\operatorname{Al}^{2}} \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{B},$$
  
$$\partial_{t} \mathbf{B} + \operatorname{curl}(\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{u}) + \operatorname{curl}(\zeta(\vartheta) \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{B}) = 0,$$
  
$$\operatorname{div} \mathbf{B} = 0,$$
  
$$\partial_{t}(\varrho \mathbf{s}) + \operatorname{div}(\varrho \mathbf{s} \mathbf{u}) + \operatorname{div} \frac{\mathbf{q}}{\vartheta} = \sigma,$$
  
$$\frac{1}{\vartheta} \left( \operatorname{Ma}^{2} \mathbb{S}(\nabla \mathbf{u}) : \nabla \mathbf{u} - \frac{\mathbf{q} \cdot \nabla \vartheta}{\vartheta} + \frac{\operatorname{Ma}^{2}}{\operatorname{Al}^{2}} \zeta(\vartheta) |\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{B}|^{2} \right) = \sigma$$

• force G, Gibbs 
$$\vartheta Ds = De + pD(1/\varrho)$$
, Fourier  $\mathbf{q} = -\kappa(\vartheta)\nabla\vartheta$ 

• stress tensor 
$$\mathbb{S}(\nabla \mathbf{u}) = \mu(\vartheta) \Big( \nabla \mathbf{u} + \nabla^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{u} - \frac{2}{3} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} \mathbb{I} \Big) + \eta(\vartheta) \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} \mathbb{I}$$

• Ma = 
$$u_c/\sqrt{p_c/\varrho_c}$$
, Fr =  $u_c/\sqrt{gL_c}$ , Al =  $u_c/(B_c/\sqrt{\varrho_c})$ 

Model and known results ●O	Formal derivation	Rigorous proof OO	Comparison with known results	The last slide 00
The model				

$$D = \mathbb{T}^2 imes (0,1)$$
 periodic strip. For  $T > 0$  in  $(0,T) imes D$ ,

$$\partial_{t}\varrho + \operatorname{div}(\varrho \mathbf{u}) = 0,$$
  
$$\partial_{t}(\varrho \mathbf{u}) + \operatorname{div}(\varrho \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}) - \operatorname{div} \mathbb{S}(\vartheta, \nabla \mathbf{u}) + \frac{1}{\operatorname{Ma}^{2}} \nabla \rho(\varrho, \vartheta) = \frac{1}{\operatorname{Fr}^{2}} \varrho \nabla G + \frac{1}{\operatorname{Al}^{2}} \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{B},$$
  
$$\partial_{t} \mathbf{B} + \operatorname{curl}(\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{u}) + \operatorname{curl}(\zeta(\vartheta) \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{B}) = 0,$$
  
$$\operatorname{div} \mathbf{B} = 0,$$
  
$$\partial_{t}(\varrho \mathbf{s}) + \operatorname{div}(\varrho \mathbf{s} \mathbf{u}) + \operatorname{div} \frac{\mathbf{q}}{\vartheta} = \sigma,$$
  
$$\frac{1}{\vartheta} \left( \operatorname{Ma}^{2} \mathbb{S}(\nabla \mathbf{u}) : \nabla \mathbf{u} - \frac{\mathbf{q} \cdot \nabla \vartheta}{\vartheta} + \frac{\operatorname{Ma}^{2}}{\operatorname{Al}^{2}} \zeta(\vartheta) |\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{B}|^{2} \right) = \sigma$$

• force *G*, Gibbs 
$$\vartheta Ds = De + pD(1/\varrho)$$
, Fourier  $\mathbf{q} = -\kappa(\vartheta)\nabla\vartheta$ 

• stress tensor 
$$\mathbb{S}(\nabla \mathbf{u}) = \mu(\vartheta) \Big( \nabla \mathbf{u} + \nabla^T \mathbf{u} - \frac{2}{3} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} \mathbb{I} \Big) + \eta(\vartheta) \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} \mathbb{I}$$

• Ma = 
$$u_c/\sqrt{p_c/\varrho_c} = \varepsilon$$
, Fr =  $u_c/\sqrt{gL_c} = \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}$ , Al =  $u_c/(B_c/\sqrt{\varrho_c}) = \varepsilon$ 

Model and known results O●	Formal derivation	Rigorous proof OO	Comparison with known results	The last slide 00
The medal				
I ne model				

 $D = \mathbb{T}^2 \times (0,1)$  periodic strip. For T > 0 in  $(0, T) \times D$ , low stratification

$$\partial_{t}\varrho + \operatorname{div}(\varrho \mathbf{u}) = 0,$$
  
$$\partial_{t}(\varrho \mathbf{u}) + \operatorname{div}(\varrho \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}) - \operatorname{div} \mathbb{S}(\vartheta, \nabla \mathbf{u}) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}} \nabla p(\varrho, \vartheta) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \varrho \nabla G + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}} \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{B},$$
  
$$\partial_{t} \mathbf{B} + \operatorname{curl}(\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{u}) + \operatorname{curl}(\zeta(\vartheta) \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{B}) = 0,$$
  
$$\operatorname{div} \mathbf{B} = 0,$$
  
$$\partial_{t}(\varrho s) + \operatorname{div}(\varrho s \mathbf{u}) + \operatorname{div} \frac{\mathbf{q}}{\vartheta} = \sigma,$$
  
$$\frac{1}{\vartheta} \left( \varepsilon^{2} \mathbb{S}(\nabla \mathbf{u}) : \nabla \mathbf{u} - \frac{\mathbf{q} \cdot \nabla \vartheta}{\vartheta} + \zeta(\vartheta) |\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{B}|^{2} \right) = \sigma$$

Boundary conditions on  $\partial D$ :

$$\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n} = \mathbf{0}, \qquad [\mathbb{S}(\vartheta, \nabla \mathbf{u})\mathbf{n}] \times \mathbf{n} = \mathbf{0}, \qquad \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{n} = \mathbf{0}, \qquad \vartheta = \overline{\vartheta} + \varepsilon \vartheta_{B}$$

Model and known results O●	Formal derivation	Rigorous proof OO	Comparison with known results	The last slide 00
The model				

 $D = \mathbb{T}^2 imes (0,1)$  periodic strip. For T > 0 in (0,T) imes D, low stratification

$$\partial_{t}\varrho + \operatorname{div}(\varrho \mathbf{u}) = 0,$$
  
$$\partial_{t}(\varrho \mathbf{u}) + \operatorname{div}(\varrho \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}) - \operatorname{div} \mathbb{S}(\vartheta, \nabla \mathbf{u}) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}} \nabla p(\varrho, \vartheta) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \varrho \nabla G + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}} \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{B},$$
  
$$\partial_{t} \mathbf{B} + \operatorname{curl}(\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{u}) + \operatorname{curl}(\zeta(\vartheta) \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{B}) = 0,$$
  
$$\operatorname{div} \mathbf{B} = 0,$$
  
$$\partial_{t}(\varrho s) + \operatorname{div}(\varrho s \mathbf{u}) + \operatorname{div} \frac{\mathbf{q}}{\vartheta} = \sigma,$$
  
$$\frac{1}{\vartheta} \left( \varepsilon^{2} \mathbb{S}(\nabla \mathbf{u}) : \nabla \mathbf{u} - \frac{\mathbf{q} \cdot \nabla \vartheta}{\vartheta} + \zeta(\vartheta) |\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{B}|^{2} \right) = \sigma$$

Boundary conditions on  $\partial D$ :

 $\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0, \quad [\mathbb{S}(\vartheta, \nabla \mathbf{u})\mathbf{n}] \times \mathbf{n} = 0, \quad \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{n} = 0, \quad \vartheta = \overline{\vartheta} + \varepsilon \vartheta_B$ Question: What happens when  $\varepsilon \to 0$ ?

Model and known results	Formal derivation	Rigorous proof	Comparison with known results	The last slide
OO	●000000000	OO	0000	00
Formal derivat	tion I: preli	minaries		

•  $Ma = \varepsilon$  is small  $\Rightarrow \varrho_{\varepsilon} \to \overline{\varrho}$  constant

Model and known results	Formal derivation	Rigorous proof	Comparison with known results	The last slide
OO		00	0000	00
Formal derivat	ion I: preli	iminaries		

•  $Ma = \varepsilon$  is small  $\Rightarrow \varrho_{\varepsilon} \to \overline{\varrho}$  constant

• 
$$\sigma_{\varepsilon} \stackrel{!}{=} \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2) \Rightarrow \vartheta_{\varepsilon} \to \overline{\vartheta}, \text{ curl } \mathbf{B}_{\varepsilon} \to 0$$

Model and known results	Formal derivation	Rigorous proof	Comparison with known results	The last slide
OO	●000000000	OO		00
Formal derivation	ation I: prel	iminaries		

•  $Ma = \varepsilon$  is small  $\Rightarrow \varrho_{\varepsilon} \to \overline{\varrho}$  constant

• 
$$\sigma_{\varepsilon} \stackrel{!}{=} \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2) \ \Rightarrow \ \vartheta_{\varepsilon} \to \overline{\vartheta}, \ \mathsf{curl} \, \mathbf{B}_{\varepsilon} \to 0$$

• also div 
$$\mathbf{B}_{\varepsilon} = 0 \Rightarrow \mathbf{B}_{\varepsilon} \to \overline{\mathbf{B}}$$

Model and known results	Formal derivation	Rigorous proof	Comparison with known results	The last slid
OO	●000000000	OO		00

## Formal derivation I: preliminaries

- $Ma = \varepsilon$  is small  $\Rightarrow \varrho_{\varepsilon} \to \overline{\varrho}$  constant
- $\sigma_{\varepsilon} \stackrel{!}{=} \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2) \Rightarrow \vartheta_{\varepsilon} \to \overline{\vartheta}, \text{ curl } \mathbf{B}_{\varepsilon} \to 0$
- also div  $\mathbf{B}_{\varepsilon} = 0 \implies \mathbf{B}_{\varepsilon} \to \overline{\mathbf{B}}$
- in turn, can write  $\varrho_{\varepsilon} = \overline{\varrho} + \varepsilon \varrho^1, \ \vartheta_{\varepsilon} = \overline{\vartheta} + \varepsilon \vartheta^1, \ \mathbf{B}_{\varepsilon} = \overline{\mathbf{B}} + \varepsilon \mathbf{B}^1$

Comparison with known results

## Formal derivation I: preliminaries

- $Ma = \varepsilon$  is small  $\Rightarrow \varrho_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow \overline{\varrho}$  constant
- $\sigma_{\varepsilon} \stackrel{!}{=} \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2) \Rightarrow \vartheta_{\varepsilon} \to \overline{\vartheta}, \text{ curl } \mathbf{B}_{\varepsilon} \to 0$
- also div  $\mathbf{B}_{\varepsilon} = 0 \implies \mathbf{B}_{\varepsilon} \to \overline{\mathbf{B}}$
- in turn, can write  $\varrho_{\varepsilon} = \overline{\varrho} + \varepsilon \varrho^1, \ \vartheta_{\varepsilon} = \overline{\vartheta} + \varepsilon \vartheta^1, \ \mathbf{B}_{\varepsilon} = \overline{\mathbf{B}} + \varepsilon \mathbf{B}^1$
- $\mathbf{B}_{\varepsilon} \times \mathbf{n} = 0$  and  $\mathbf{n} = \pm \mathbf{e}_3 \Rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{B}} = (0, 0, \overline{b})$ , and  $\mathbf{B}^1 = (0, 0, b^1)$ ; by div  $\mathbf{B}^1 = 0$ , we have  $b^1 = b^1(t, x_1, x_2)$

 Model and known results
 Formal derivation
 Rigorous proof
 Comparison with known results
 The last slide

 ○○
 ○○
 ○○
 ○○
 ○○

## Formal derivation II: CE, form of **U**, IE

• CE:

$$0 = \partial_t \varrho_{\varepsilon} + \operatorname{div}(\varrho_{\varepsilon} \mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon}) \to \partial_t \overline{\varrho} + \operatorname{div}(\overline{\varrho} \mathbf{U}) \ \Rightarrow \ \operatorname{div} \mathbf{U} = 0$$

## Formal derivation II: CE, form of **U**, IE

• CE:

$$0 = \partial_t \varrho_{\varepsilon} + \operatorname{div}(\varrho_{\varepsilon} \mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon}) \to \partial_t \overline{\varrho} + \operatorname{div}(\overline{\varrho} \mathbf{U}) \Rightarrow \boxed{\operatorname{div} \mathbf{U} = 0}$$

• IE: Plug in 
$$\mathbf{B}_{\varepsilon} = \overline{\mathbf{B}} + \varepsilon \mathbf{B}^{1}$$
 to get  
 $\varepsilon \partial_{t} \mathbf{B}^{1} + \operatorname{curl}((\overline{\mathbf{B}} + \varepsilon \mathbf{B}^{1}) \times \mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon}) + \varepsilon \operatorname{curl}(\zeta(\overline{\vartheta} + \varepsilon \vartheta^{1}) \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{B}^{1}) = 0$   
 $\Rightarrow \operatorname{curl}(\overline{\mathbf{B}} \times \mathbf{U}) = (\mathbf{U} \cdot \nabla)\overline{\mathbf{B}} - (\overline{\mathbf{B}} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{U} \stackrel{!}{=} 0 \Rightarrow \overline{\partial_{3}\mathbf{U} = 0}$   
and  $\overline{\partial_{t}\mathbf{B}^{1} + \operatorname{curl}(\mathbf{B}^{1} \times \mathbf{U}) + \operatorname{curl}(\zeta(\overline{\vartheta}) \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{B}^{1}) = 0}$ 

## Formal derivation II: CE, form of **U**, IE

• CE:

$$0 = \partial_t \varrho_{\varepsilon} + \operatorname{div}(\varrho_{\varepsilon} \mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon}) \to \partial_t \overline{\varrho} + \operatorname{div}(\overline{\varrho} \mathbf{U}) \Rightarrow \boxed{\operatorname{div} \mathbf{U} = 0}$$

• IE: Plug in 
$$\mathbf{B}_{\varepsilon} = \overline{\mathbf{B}} + \varepsilon \mathbf{B}^{1}$$
 to get  
 $\varepsilon \partial_{t} \mathbf{B}^{1} + \operatorname{curl}((\overline{\mathbf{B}} + \varepsilon \mathbf{B}^{1}) \times \mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon}) + \varepsilon \operatorname{curl}(\zeta(\overline{\vartheta} + \varepsilon \vartheta^{1}) \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{B}^{1}) = 0$   
 $\Rightarrow \operatorname{curl}(\overline{\mathbf{B}} \times \mathbf{U}) = (\mathbf{U} \cdot \nabla)\overline{\mathbf{B}} - (\overline{\mathbf{B}} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{U} \stackrel{!}{=} 0 \Rightarrow \overline{\partial_{3}\mathbf{U}} = 0$   
and  $\overline{\partial_{t}\mathbf{B}^{1} + \operatorname{curl}(\mathbf{B}^{1} \times \mathbf{U}) + \operatorname{curl}(\zeta(\overline{\vartheta}) \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{B}^{1}) = 0}$   
• BC on  $\mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon}$ :  $0 = \mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon} \cdot \mathbf{n} \rightarrow \mathbf{U} \cdot \mathbf{n} \Rightarrow \mathbf{U} = (U_{1}, U_{2}, 0)(t, x_{1}, x_{2})$ 

Model and known results OO	Formal derivation	Rigorous proof 00	Comparison with known results	The last slide 00

• ME: zeroth order terms lead to  $\nabla p(\varrho_{\varepsilon}, \vartheta_{\varepsilon}) = \varepsilon \varrho_{\varepsilon} \nabla G + \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{B}_{\varepsilon} \times \mathbf{B}_{\varepsilon}$ 

Model and known results	Formal derivation	Rigorous proof	Comparison with known results	The last slide
OO	00●0000000	OO	0000	00

- ME: zeroth order terms lead to  $\nabla p(\varrho_{\varepsilon}, \vartheta_{\varepsilon}) = \varepsilon \varrho_{\varepsilon} \nabla G + \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{B}_{\varepsilon} \times \mathbf{B}_{\varepsilon}$
- As  $\varrho_{\varepsilon} = \overline{\varrho} + \varepsilon \varrho^1, \ \vartheta_{\varepsilon} = \overline{\vartheta} + \varepsilon \vartheta^1, \ \mathbf{B}_{\varepsilon} = \overline{\mathbf{B}} + \varepsilon \mathbf{B}^1$ , we have

$$\partial_{\varrho} p(\overline{\varrho}, \overline{\vartheta}) \nabla \varrho^{1} + \partial_{\vartheta} p(\overline{\varrho}, \overline{\vartheta}) \nabla \vartheta^{1} = \overline{\varrho} \nabla \mathcal{G} + \mathsf{curl} \, \mathbf{B}^{1} \times \overline{\mathbf{B}}$$

Model and known results	Formal derivation	Rigorous proof	Comparison with known results	The last slide
OO	000000000	OO	0000	00

• ME: zeroth order terms lead to  $\nabla p(\varrho_{\varepsilon}, \vartheta_{\varepsilon}) = \varepsilon \varrho_{\varepsilon} \nabla G + \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{B}_{\varepsilon} \times \mathbf{B}_{\varepsilon}$ 

• As 
$$\rho_{\varepsilon} = \overline{\rho} + \varepsilon \rho^1$$
,  $\vartheta_{\varepsilon} = \overline{\vartheta} + \varepsilon \vartheta^1$ ,  $\mathbf{B}_{\varepsilon} = \overline{\mathbf{B}} + \varepsilon \mathbf{B}^1$ , we have

$$\partial_{\varrho} p(\overline{\varrho}, \overline{\vartheta}) \nabla \varrho^{1} + \partial_{\vartheta} p(\overline{\varrho}, \overline{\vartheta}) \nabla \vartheta^{1} = \overline{\varrho} \nabla G + \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{B}^{1} \times \overline{\mathbf{B}}$$

• By structure 
$$\overline{\mathbf{B}} = (0, 0, \overline{b})$$
 and  $\mathbf{B}^1 = (0, 0, b^1)$ , get curl  $\mathbf{B}^1 \times \overline{\mathbf{B}} = -\nabla(\overline{\mathbf{B}} \cdot \mathbf{B}^1)$  (structurally correct!)

Model and known results	Formal derivation	Rigorous proof	Comparison with known results	The last slide
OO		OO	0000	00

• ME: zeroth order terms lead to  $\nabla p(\varrho_{\varepsilon}, \vartheta_{\varepsilon}) = \varepsilon \varrho_{\varepsilon} \nabla G + \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{B}_{\varepsilon} \times \mathbf{B}_{\varepsilon}$ 

• As 
$$\rho_{\varepsilon} = \overline{\rho} + \varepsilon \rho^1$$
,  $\vartheta_{\varepsilon} = \overline{\vartheta} + \varepsilon \vartheta^1$ ,  $\mathbf{B}_{\varepsilon} = \overline{\mathbf{B}} + \varepsilon \mathbf{B}^1$ , we have

$$\partial_{\varrho} p(\overline{\varrho}, \overline{\vartheta}) \nabla \varrho^{1} + \partial_{\vartheta} p(\overline{\varrho}, \overline{\vartheta}) \nabla \vartheta^{1} = \overline{\varrho} \nabla G + \mathsf{curl} \, \mathbf{B}^{1} \times \overline{\mathbf{B}}$$

- By structure  $\overline{\mathbf{B}} = (0, 0, \overline{b})$  and  $\mathbf{B}^1 = (0, 0, b^1)$ , get curl  $\mathbf{B}^1 \times \overline{\mathbf{B}} = -\nabla(\overline{\mathbf{B}} \cdot \mathbf{B}^1)$  (structurally correct!)
- Removing gradients, we get magneto-Boussinesq relation

$$\partial_{\varrho} p(\overline{\varrho}, \overline{\vartheta}) \varrho^{1} + \partial_{\vartheta} p(\overline{\varrho}, \overline{\vartheta}) \vartheta^{1} + \overline{\mathbf{B}} \cdot \mathbf{B}^{1} = \overline{\varrho} \mathcal{G} + \chi(t)$$

Model and known results OO	Formal derivation	Rigorous proof OO	Comparison with known results	The last slide 00

• ME: zeroth order terms lead to  $\nabla p(\varrho_{\varepsilon}, \vartheta_{\varepsilon}) = \varepsilon \varrho_{\varepsilon} \nabla G + \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{B}_{\varepsilon} \times \mathbf{B}_{\varepsilon}$ 

• As 
$$\varrho_{\varepsilon} = \overline{\varrho} + \varepsilon \varrho^1$$
,  $\vartheta_{\varepsilon} = \overline{\vartheta} + \varepsilon \vartheta^1$ ,  $\mathbf{B}_{\varepsilon} = \overline{\mathbf{B}} + \varepsilon \mathbf{B}^1$ , we have

$$\partial_{\varrho} p(\overline{\varrho}, \overline{\vartheta}) \nabla \varrho^{1} + \partial_{\vartheta} p(\overline{\varrho}, \overline{\vartheta}) \nabla \vartheta^{1} = \overline{\varrho} \nabla G + \mathsf{curl} \, \mathbf{B}^{1} \times \overline{\mathbf{B}}$$

- By structure  $\overline{\mathbf{B}} = (0, 0, \overline{b})$  and  $\mathbf{B}^1 = (0, 0, b^1)$ , get curl  $\mathbf{B}^1 \times \overline{\mathbf{B}} = -\nabla(\overline{\mathbf{B}} \cdot \mathbf{B}^1)$  (structurally correct!)
- Removing gradients, we get magneto-Boussinesq relation

$$\partial_{\varrho} p(\overline{\varrho}, \overline{\vartheta}) \varrho^{1} + \partial_{\vartheta} p(\overline{\varrho}, \overline{\vartheta}) \vartheta^{1} + \overline{\mathbf{B}} \cdot \mathbf{B}^{1} = \overline{\varrho} G + \chi(t)$$

• Know:  $\int_D \varrho^1 \, dx = 0$ ,  $\int_D \mathbf{B}^1 \, dx = 0$ ; assume:  $\int_D G \, dx = 0$ , then  $\chi(t) = \partial_\vartheta p(\overline{\varrho}, \overline{\vartheta}) \oint_D \vartheta^1 \, dx$  and Boussinesq reads

 $\partial_{\varrho} p(\overline{\varrho}, \overline{\vartheta}) \varrho^{1} + \partial_{\vartheta} p(\overline{\varrho}, \overline{\vartheta}) \vartheta^{1} + \overline{\mathbf{B}} \cdot \mathbf{B}^{1} = \overline{\varrho} G + \partial_{\vartheta} p(\overline{\varrho}, \overline{\vartheta}) \oint_{D} \vartheta^{1} \, \mathrm{d}x.$ 

Model and known results OO	Formal derivation	Rigorous proof 00	Comparison with known results	The last slide 00
Formal derivat	tion IV: MF	- part 1		

• ME again: recall

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t(\varrho_{\varepsilon}\mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon}) + \operatorname{div}(\varrho_{\varepsilon}\mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon}\otimes\mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon}) - \operatorname{div}\mathbb{S}(\vartheta_{\varepsilon},\nabla\mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon}) \\ &= -\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\nabla p(\varrho_{\varepsilon},\vartheta_{\varepsilon}) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\varrho_{\varepsilon}\nabla G + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\operatorname{curl}\mathbf{B}_{\varepsilon}\times\mathbf{B}_{\epsilon} \end{aligned}$$

Model and known re 00	sults Formal der	vivation	Rigorous proof OO	Comparison with known results	The last slide 00
Formal	derivation I	IV: ME,	part 1		

• ME again: recall

$$\begin{split} \partial_t(\varrho_\varepsilon \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon) + \mathsf{div}(\varrho_\varepsilon \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \otimes \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon) - \mathsf{div}\,\mathbb{S}(\vartheta_\varepsilon, \nabla \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon) \\ &= -\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \nabla p(\varrho_\varepsilon, \vartheta_\varepsilon) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \varrho_\varepsilon \nabla G + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{B}_\varepsilon \times \mathbf{B}_\varepsilon \end{split}$$

• LHS: as  $(\varrho_{\varepsilon}, \vartheta_{\varepsilon}, \mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon}) \to (\overline{\varrho}, \overline{\vartheta}, \mathbf{U})$ ,

$$\partial_t(\varrho_\varepsilon \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon) + \operatorname{div}(\varrho_\varepsilon \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \otimes \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon) - \operatorname{div} \mathbb{S}(\vartheta_\varepsilon, \nabla \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon) \rightarrow \overline{\varrho}(\partial_t \mathbf{U} + \mathbf{U} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{U}) - \operatorname{div} \mathbb{S}(\overline{\vartheta}, \nabla \mathbf{U}) = \overline{\varrho}(\partial_t \mathbf{U} + \mathbf{U} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{U}) - \mu(\overline{\vartheta}) \nabla^2 \mathbf{U}$$

Model and known results OO	Formal derivation	Rigorous proof OO	Comparison with known results	The last slide 00
Formal derivat	tion V: ME	, part 2		

• RHS: as before,

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{\mathsf{curl}} \mathbf{B}_{\varepsilon} \times \mathbf{B}_{\varepsilon} &= \operatorname{\mathsf{curl}}(\overline{\mathbf{B}} + \varepsilon \mathbf{B}^1) \times (\overline{\mathbf{B}} + \varepsilon \mathbf{B}^1) \\ &= \varepsilon \operatorname{\mathsf{curl}} \mathbf{B}^1 \times \overline{\mathbf{B}} + \varepsilon^2 \operatorname{\mathsf{curl}} \mathbf{B}^1 \times \mathbf{B}^1 = -\varepsilon \nabla (\overline{\mathbf{B}} \cdot \mathbf{B}^1) - \varepsilon^2 \nabla \frac{1}{2} |\mathbf{B}^1|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Model and known results OO	Formal derivation	Rigorous proof 00	Comparison with known results	The last slide 00
Formal derivat	tion V: ME	part 2		

• RHS: as before,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{curl}\, \mathbf{B}_{\varepsilon} \times \mathbf{B}_{\varepsilon} &= \mathsf{curl}(\overline{\mathbf{B}} + \varepsilon \mathbf{B}^{1}) \times (\overline{\mathbf{B}} + \varepsilon \mathbf{B}^{1}) \\ &= \varepsilon \,\mathsf{curl}\, \mathbf{B}^{1} \times \overline{\mathbf{B}} + \varepsilon^{2} \,\mathsf{curl}\, \mathbf{B}^{1} \times \mathbf{B}^{1} = -\varepsilon \nabla (\overline{\mathbf{B}} \cdot \mathbf{B}^{1}) - \varepsilon^{2} \nabla \frac{1}{2} |\mathbf{B}^{1}|^{2} \end{aligned}$$

• Moreover,

$$abla p(arrho_arepsilon, artheta_arepsilon) = arepsilon \partial_arrho p(\overlinearrho, \overlineartheta) 
abla arphi^1 + arepsilon \partial_artheta p(\overlinearrho, \overlineartheta) 
abla artheta^1$$

00	000000000	00	0000	00
E a succe a l'al a straite su				

#### Formal derivation V: ME, part 2

• RHS: as before,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{curl}\, \mathbf{B}_{\varepsilon} \times \mathbf{B}_{\varepsilon} &= \mathsf{curl}(\overline{\mathbf{B}} + \varepsilon \mathbf{B}^{1}) \times (\overline{\mathbf{B}} + \varepsilon \mathbf{B}^{1}) \\ &= \varepsilon \,\mathsf{curl}\, \mathbf{B}^{1} \times \overline{\mathbf{B}} + \varepsilon^{2} \,\mathsf{curl}\, \mathbf{B}^{1} \times \mathbf{B}^{1} = -\varepsilon \nabla (\overline{\mathbf{B}} \cdot \mathbf{B}^{1}) - \varepsilon^{2} \nabla \frac{1}{2} |\mathbf{B}^{1}|^{2} \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$abla p(arrho_arepsilon, artheta_arepsilon) = arepsilon \partial_arrho p(\overlinearrho, \overlineartheta) 
abla arrho^1 + arepsilon \partial_artheta p(\overlinearrho, \overlineartheta) 
abla artheta^1$$

• Hence,

$$\begin{split} &-\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\nabla p(\varrho_{\varepsilon},\vartheta_{\varepsilon})+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\varrho_{\varepsilon}\nabla G+\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\operatorname{curl}\mathbf{B}_{\varepsilon}\times\mathbf{B}_{\epsilon}\\ &=-\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\big(\partial_{\varrho}p(\overline{\varrho},\overline{\vartheta})\nabla \varrho^1+\partial_{\vartheta}p(\overline{\varrho},\overline{\vartheta})\nabla \vartheta^1\big)+\frac{\varrho_{\varepsilon}-\overline{\varrho}}{\varepsilon}\nabla G+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\overline{\varrho}\nabla G\\ &-\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\nabla(\overline{\mathbf{B}}\cdot\mathbf{B}^1)-\nabla\frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{B}^1|^2 \end{split}$$

Model and known results 00	Formal derivation	Rigorous proof 00	Comparison with known results	The last slide

#### Formal derivation V: ME, part 2

• RHS: as before,

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{B}_{\varepsilon} \times \mathbf{B}_{\varepsilon} &= \operatorname{curl}(\overline{\mathbf{B}} + \varepsilon \mathbf{B}^{1}) \times (\overline{\mathbf{B}} + \varepsilon \mathbf{B}^{1}) \\ &= \varepsilon \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{B}^{1} \times \overline{\mathbf{B}} + \varepsilon^{2} \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{B}^{1} \times \mathbf{B}^{1} = -\varepsilon \nabla (\overline{\mathbf{B}} \cdot \mathbf{B}^{1}) - \varepsilon^{2} \nabla \frac{1}{2} |\mathbf{B}^{1}|^{2} \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$abla p(arrho_arepsilon, artheta_arepsilon) = arepsilon \partial_arrho p(\overlinearepsilon, \overlineartheta) 
abla arrho^1 + arepsilon \partial_artheta p(\overlinearepsilon, \overlineartheta) 
abla artheta^1$$

• Hence,

$$\begin{split} &-\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \nabla p(\varrho_{\varepsilon}, \vartheta_{\varepsilon}) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \varrho_{\varepsilon} \nabla G + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{B}_{\varepsilon} \times \mathbf{B}_{\varepsilon} \\ &= -\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \Big( \partial_{\varrho} p(\overline{\varrho}, \overline{\vartheta}) \nabla \varrho^1 + \partial_{\vartheta} p(\overline{\varrho}, \overline{\vartheta}) \nabla \vartheta^1 \Big) + \frac{\varrho_{\varepsilon} - \overline{\varrho}}{\varepsilon} \nabla G + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \overline{\varrho} \nabla G \\ &- \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \nabla (\overline{\mathbf{B}} \cdot \mathbf{B}^1) - \nabla \frac{1}{2} |\mathbf{B}^1|^2 \end{split}$$

• By Boussinesq relation,

$$\begin{split} &-\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \nabla \boldsymbol{\rho}(\varrho_{\varepsilon}, \vartheta_{\varepsilon}) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \varrho_{\varepsilon} \nabla G + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{B}_{\varepsilon} \times \mathbf{B}_{\epsilon} \\ &\to \varrho^1 \nabla G - \nabla \frac{1}{2} |\mathbf{B}^1|^2 - \nabla \pi = \varrho^1 \nabla G - \nabla \Pi \end{split}$$

Model and known results 00 Formal derivation

Rigorous proof

Comparison with known result: 0000 The last slide 00

## Formal derivation VI: HE, part 1

#### • HE: recall

$$\begin{split} \partial_t (\varrho_\varepsilon \boldsymbol{s}(\varrho_\varepsilon, \vartheta_\varepsilon)) + \mathsf{div}(\varrho_\varepsilon \boldsymbol{s}(\varrho_\varepsilon, \vartheta_\varepsilon) \boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon) + \mathsf{div} \, \frac{\boldsymbol{q}(\vartheta_\varepsilon, \nabla \vartheta_\varepsilon)}{\vartheta_\varepsilon} \\ &= \frac{1}{\vartheta_\varepsilon} \bigg( \varepsilon^2 \mathbb{S}(\nabla \boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon) : \nabla \boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon - \frac{\boldsymbol{q}(\vartheta_\varepsilon, \nabla \vartheta_\varepsilon) \cdot \nabla \vartheta_\varepsilon}{\vartheta_\varepsilon} + \zeta(\vartheta_\varepsilon) |\operatorname{curl} \boldsymbol{B}_\varepsilon|^2 \bigg) \end{split}$$

Model and known results	Formal derivation	Rigorous proof	Comparison with known results	The last slide
OO	00000€0000	OO		00
Formal derivat	tion VI: HE	, part 1		

• HE: recall

$$\varrho_{\varepsilon}\big(\partial_{t}\boldsymbol{s}(\varrho_{\varepsilon},\vartheta_{\varepsilon})\big) + \mathsf{div}(\boldsymbol{s}(\varrho_{\varepsilon},\vartheta_{\varepsilon})\mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon})\big) - \mathsf{div}\,\frac{\kappa(\vartheta_{\varepsilon})\nabla\vartheta_{\varepsilon}}{\vartheta_{\varepsilon}} = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{2})$$

## Formal derivation VI: HE, part 1

HE: recall

$$\varrho_{\varepsilon}\big(\partial_t \mathsf{s}(\varrho_{\varepsilon},\vartheta_{\varepsilon})\big) + \mathsf{div}(\mathsf{s}(\varrho_{\varepsilon},\vartheta_{\varepsilon})\mathsf{u}_{\varepsilon})\big) - \mathsf{div}\,\frac{\kappa(\vartheta_{\varepsilon})\nabla\vartheta_{\varepsilon}}{\vartheta_{\varepsilon}} = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2)$$

• Expanding (recall  $\varrho_{\varepsilon} = \overline{\varrho} + \varepsilon \varrho^1$ ,  $\vartheta_{\varepsilon} = \overline{\vartheta} + \varepsilon \vartheta^1$ )

$$s(\varrho_{\varepsilon},\vartheta_{\varepsilon}) = s(\overline{\varrho},\overline{\vartheta}) + \varepsilon \partial_{\varrho} s(\overline{\varrho},\overline{\vartheta}) \varrho^{1} + \varepsilon \partial_{\vartheta} s(\overline{\varrho},\overline{\vartheta}) \vartheta^{1} + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{2}),$$

we get  $(\partial_* \overline{s} = \partial_* s(\overline{\varrho}, \overline{\vartheta}))$ 

$$\begin{split} (\overline{\varrho} + \varepsilon \varrho^1) \big( \varepsilon \partial_{\varrho} \overline{s} \partial_t \varrho^1 + \varepsilon \partial_{\vartheta} \overline{s} \partial_t \vartheta^1 + \operatorname{div} \big[ \mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon} \big( \varepsilon \partial_{\varrho} \overline{s} \varrho^1 + \varepsilon \partial_{\vartheta} \overline{s} \vartheta^1 \big) \big] \big) \\ - \varepsilon \operatorname{div} \frac{\kappa(\vartheta_{\varepsilon}) \nabla \vartheta^1}{\vartheta_{\varepsilon}} = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2), \end{split}$$

## Formal derivation VI: HE, part 1

HE: recall

$$\varrho_{\varepsilon}\big(\partial_t \mathsf{s}(\varrho_{\varepsilon},\vartheta_{\varepsilon})\big) + \mathsf{div}(\mathsf{s}(\varrho_{\varepsilon},\vartheta_{\varepsilon})\mathsf{u}_{\varepsilon})\big) - \mathsf{div}\,\frac{\kappa(\vartheta_{\varepsilon})\nabla\vartheta_{\varepsilon}}{\vartheta_{\varepsilon}} = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2)$$

• Expanding (recall  $\varrho_{\varepsilon} = \overline{\varrho} + \varepsilon \varrho^1$ ,  $\vartheta_{\varepsilon} = \overline{\vartheta} + \varepsilon \vartheta^1$ )

$$s(\varrho_{\varepsilon},\vartheta_{\varepsilon}) = s(\overline{\varrho},\overline{\vartheta}) + \varepsilon \partial_{\varrho} s(\overline{\varrho},\overline{\vartheta}) \varrho^{1} + \varepsilon \partial_{\vartheta} s(\overline{\varrho},\overline{\vartheta}) \vartheta^{1} + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{2}),$$

we get 
$$(\partial_* \bar{s} = \partial_* s(\bar{\varrho}, \bar{\vartheta}))$$
  
 $(\bar{\varrho} + \varepsilon \varrho^1) (\varepsilon \partial_{\varrho} \bar{s} \partial_t \varrho^1 + \varepsilon \partial_{\vartheta} \bar{s} \partial_t \vartheta^1 + \operatorname{div} \left[ \mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon} (\varepsilon \partial_{\varrho} \bar{s} \varrho^1 + \varepsilon \partial_{\vartheta} \bar{s} \vartheta^1) \right] \right)$   
 $-\varepsilon \operatorname{div} \frac{\kappa(\vartheta_{\varepsilon}) \nabla \vartheta^1}{\vartheta_{\varepsilon}} = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2),$ 

in turn for  $\varepsilon \to 0$ 

$$\overline{\varrho}\partial_t \big(\partial_\varrho \bar{s}\varrho^1 + \partial_\vartheta \bar{s}\vartheta^1\big) + \overline{\varrho}\operatorname{div}\big[\mathbf{U}\big(\partial_\varrho \bar{s}\varrho^1 + \partial_\vartheta \bar{s}\vartheta^1\big)\big] - \frac{\kappa(\overline{\vartheta})}{\overline{\vartheta}}\nabla^2 \vartheta^1 = \mathbf{0}.$$

Model and known results Formal derivation OCON OCON Comparison with known results The last slide OCON Formal derivation VII: HE, part 2

• Gibbs' relation  $\vartheta Ds = De + pD(1/\varrho)$  yields

$$\vartheta \partial_{\vartheta} s = \partial_{\vartheta} e, \qquad \qquad \vartheta \partial_{\varrho} s = \partial_{\varrho} e - \frac{p}{\varrho^2}.$$

• Gibbs' relation  $\vartheta Ds = De + pD(1/\varrho)$  yields

$$\vartheta \partial_{\vartheta} s = \partial_{\vartheta} e, \qquad \qquad \vartheta \partial_{\varrho} s = \partial_{\varrho} e - \frac{p}{\varrho^2}.$$

• Taking cross-derivatives wrt.  $\varrho$  and  $\vartheta$ , we get

$$\vartheta \partial_{\varrho\vartheta}^2 s = \partial_{\varrho\vartheta}^2 e, \quad \partial_{\varrho} s + \vartheta \partial_{\varrho\vartheta}^2 s = \partial_{\varrho\vartheta}^2 e - \frac{\partial_{\vartheta} p}{\varrho^2} \Rightarrow \left[ \vartheta \partial_{\varrho} s = -\frac{\vartheta}{\varrho^2} \partial_{\vartheta} p \right]$$

 Model and known results
 Formal derivation
 Rigorous proof
 Comparison with known results
 The last slide

 Formal derivation VII: HE, part 2

• Gibbs' relation  $\vartheta Ds = De + pD(1/\varrho)$  yields

$$\vartheta \partial_{\vartheta} s = \partial_{\vartheta} e, \qquad \qquad \vartheta \partial_{\varrho} s = \partial_{\varrho} e - \frac{p}{\varrho^2}.$$

• Taking cross-derivatives wrt.  $\varrho$  and  $\vartheta$ , we get

$$\vartheta \partial_{\varrho\vartheta}^2 s = \partial_{\varrho\vartheta}^2 e, \quad \partial_{\varrho} s + \vartheta \partial_{\varrho\vartheta}^2 s = \partial_{\varrho\vartheta}^2 e - \frac{\partial_{\vartheta} p}{\varrho^2} \Rightarrow \left| \vartheta \partial_{\varrho} s = -\frac{\vartheta}{\varrho^2} \partial_{\vartheta} p \right|$$

• from BR, we have

$$\varrho^{1} = \frac{\overline{\varrho}}{\partial_{\varrho} p} G - \frac{1}{\partial_{\varrho} p} \overline{\mathbf{B}} \cdot \mathbf{B}^{1} - \frac{\partial_{\vartheta} p}{\partial_{\varrho} p} \left( \vartheta^{1} - \int_{D} \vartheta^{1} \, \mathrm{d} x \right)$$

Model and known results OO	Formal derivation	Rigorous proof OO	Comparison with known results	The last slide 00

# Formal derivation VIII: HE, part 3

• Collecting equations:

$$\overline{\varrho}\partial_t \left(\partial_\varrho \overline{s}\varrho^1 + \partial_\vartheta \overline{s}\vartheta^1\right) + \overline{\varrho}\operatorname{div}\left[\mathbf{U}\left(\partial_\varrho \overline{s}\varrho^1 + \partial_\vartheta \overline{s}\vartheta^1\right)\right] - \frac{\kappa(\vartheta)}{\overline{\vartheta}}\nabla^2\vartheta^1 = 0$$
$$\overline{\vartheta}\partial_\vartheta \overline{s} = \partial_\vartheta e(\overline{\varrho}, \overline{\vartheta}), \quad \overline{\vartheta}\partial_\varrho \overline{s} = -\frac{\overline{\vartheta}}{\overline{\varrho}^2}\partial_\vartheta p(\overline{\varrho}, \overline{\vartheta})$$
$$1 = -\frac{\overline{\vartheta}}{\overline{\varrho}} \cdot c = -\frac{1}{\overline{\vartheta}} = -\frac{1}{\overline{\varrho}} \cdot \partial_\vartheta P\left(c_1 - c_1 + c_2\right)$$

$$\varrho^{1} = \frac{\varrho}{\partial_{\varrho} \rho} G - \frac{1}{\partial_{\varrho} \rho} \overline{\mathbf{B}} \cdot \mathbf{B}^{1} - \frac{\partial_{\vartheta} \rho}{\partial_{\varrho} \rho} \left( \vartheta^{1} - \int_{D} \vartheta^{1} \, \mathrm{d}x \right)$$

Model and known results OO	Formal derivation	Rigorous proof OO	Comparison with known results	The last slide 00

## Formal derivation VIII: HE, part 3

• Collecting equations:

$$\overline{\varrho}\partial_t \big(\partial_\varrho \bar{s}\varrho^1 + \partial_\vartheta \bar{s}\vartheta^1\big) + \overline{\varrho}\operatorname{div}\big[\mathbf{U}\big(\partial_\varrho \bar{s}\varrho^1 + \partial_\vartheta \bar{s}\vartheta^1\big)\big] - \frac{\kappa(\overline{\vartheta})}{\overline{\vartheta}}\nabla^2\vartheta^1 = \mathbf{0}$$

$$\overline{\vartheta}\partial_{\vartheta}\overline{s} = \partial_{\vartheta}e(\overline{\varrho},\overline{\vartheta}), \quad \overline{\vartheta}\partial_{\varrho}\overline{s} = -\frac{\vartheta}{\overline{\varrho}^{2}}\partial_{\vartheta}p(\overline{\varrho},\overline{\vartheta})$$
$$\varrho^{1} = \frac{\overline{\varrho}}{\partial_{\varrho}p}G - \frac{1}{\partial_{\varrho}p}\overline{B}\cdot B^{1} - \frac{\partial_{\vartheta}p}{\partial_{\varrho}p}\left(\vartheta^{1} - \int_{D}\vartheta^{1} dx\right)$$

• Putting all together, we find

$$\begin{split} \overline{\varrho} c_{\rho}(\overline{\varrho}, \overline{\vartheta}) (\partial_{t} \vartheta^{1} + \mathbf{U} \cdot \nabla \vartheta^{1}) &- \overline{\varrho} \overline{\vartheta} \alpha(\overline{\varrho}, \overline{\vartheta}) \mathbf{U} \cdot \nabla G - \kappa(\overline{\vartheta}) \nabla^{2} \vartheta^{1} \\ &= \overline{\vartheta} \alpha(\overline{\varrho}, \overline{\vartheta}) \partial_{\vartheta} \rho(\overline{\varrho}, \overline{\vartheta}) \partial_{t} \int_{D} \vartheta^{1} \, \mathrm{d}x - \overline{\vartheta} \alpha(\overline{\varrho}, \overline{\vartheta}) \big( \partial_{t} (\overline{\mathbf{B}} \cdot \mathbf{B}^{1}) + \mathbf{U} \cdot \nabla(\overline{\mathbf{B}} \cdot \mathbf{B}^{1}) \big), \end{split}$$

where

$$\alpha(\overline{\varrho},\overline{\vartheta}) = \frac{1}{\overline{\varrho}} \frac{\partial_{\vartheta} p(\overline{\varrho},\overline{\vartheta})}{\partial_{\varrho} p(\overline{\varrho},\overline{\vartheta})}, \quad c_{\rho}(\overline{\varrho},\overline{\vartheta}) = \partial_{\vartheta} e(\overline{\varrho},\overline{\vartheta}) + \frac{\overline{\vartheta}}{\overline{\varrho}} \alpha(\overline{\varrho},\overline{\vartheta}) \partial_{\vartheta} p(\overline{\varrho},\overline{\vartheta})$$

Model and known results	Formal derivation	Rigorous proof	Comparison with known results	The last slide
OO	00000000000	00		00
Target system	1			

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{U} &= 0, \quad \operatorname{div} \mathbf{B}^{1} = 0, \\ \partial_{\varrho} \rho(\overline{\varrho}, \overline{\vartheta}) \varrho^{1} + \partial_{\vartheta} \rho(\overline{\varrho}, \overline{\vartheta}) \vartheta^{1} + \overline{\mathbf{B}} \cdot \mathbf{B}^{1} = \overline{\varrho} G + \partial_{\vartheta} \rho(\overline{\varrho}, \overline{\vartheta}) \int_{D} \vartheta^{1} \, \mathrm{d}x \\ \overline{\varrho} (\partial_{t} \mathbf{U} + \mathbf{U} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{U}) - \mu(\overline{\vartheta}) \nabla^{2} \mathbf{U} + \nabla \Pi = \varrho^{1} \nabla G, \\ \partial_{t} \mathbf{B}^{1} + \operatorname{curl}(\mathbf{B}^{1} \times \mathbf{U}) + \operatorname{curl}(\zeta(\overline{\vartheta}) \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{B}^{1}) = 0, \\ \overline{\varrho} c_{\rho}(\overline{\varrho}, \overline{\vartheta}) (\partial_{t} \vartheta^{1} + \mathbf{U} \cdot \nabla \vartheta^{1}) - \overline{\varrho} \overline{\vartheta} \alpha(\overline{\varrho}, \overline{\vartheta}) \mathbf{U} \cdot \nabla G - \kappa(\overline{\vartheta}) \nabla^{2} \vartheta^{1} \\ &= \overline{\vartheta} \alpha(\overline{\varrho}, \overline{\vartheta}) \partial_{\vartheta} \rho(\overline{\varrho}, \overline{\vartheta}) \partial_{t} \int_{D} \vartheta^{1} \, \mathrm{d}x - \overline{\vartheta} \alpha(\overline{\varrho}, \overline{\vartheta}) (\partial_{t}(\overline{\mathbf{B}} \cdot \mathbf{B}^{1}) + \mathbf{U} \cdot \nabla(\overline{\mathbf{B}} \cdot \mathbf{B}^{1})), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\alpha(\overline{\varrho},\overline{\vartheta}) = \frac{1}{\overline{\varrho}} \frac{\partial_{\vartheta} \rho(\overline{\varrho},\overline{\vartheta})}{\partial_{\varrho} \rho(\overline{\varrho},\overline{\vartheta})}, \quad c_{\rho}(\overline{\varrho},\overline{\vartheta}) = \partial_{\vartheta} e(\overline{\varrho},\overline{\vartheta}) + \frac{\overline{\vartheta}}{\overline{\varrho}} \alpha(\overline{\varrho},\overline{\vartheta}) \partial_{\vartheta} \rho(\overline{\varrho},\overline{\vartheta})$$

 $\mathsf{BC:}\; \vartheta^1|_{\partial D} = \vartheta_B \; (\mathsf{recall}\; \vartheta_\varepsilon|_{\partial D} = \overline{\vartheta} + \varepsilon \vartheta_B)$ 

Model and known results	Formal derivation	Rigorous proof	Comparison with known results	The last slide
OO	000000000	00		00
Target system				

Some modifications for magnetic field:

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{0} &= \partial_t \mathbf{B}^1 + \mathsf{curl}(\mathbf{B}^1 \times \mathbf{U}) + \mathsf{curl}(\zeta(\overline{\vartheta})\,\mathsf{curl}\,\mathbf{B}^1) \\ &= \partial_t \mathbf{B}^1 + (\mathbf{U}\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{B}^1 - (\mathbf{B}^1\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{U} - \zeta(\overline{\vartheta})\nabla^2\mathbf{B}^1 \end{split}$$

Model and known results OO	Formal derivation	Rigorous proof OO	Comparison with known results	The last slide 00
Target system				

Some modifications for magnetic field:

$$0 = \partial_t \mathbf{B}^1 + \operatorname{curl}(\mathbf{B}^1 \times \mathbf{U}) + \operatorname{curl}(\zeta(\overline{\vartheta}) \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{B}^1)$$
  
=  $\partial_t \mathbf{B}^1 + (\mathbf{U} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{B}^1 - (\mathbf{B}^1 \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{U} - \zeta(\overline{\vartheta}) \nabla^2 \mathbf{B}^1$ 

Hence, also

$$\partial_t (\overline{\mathbf{B}} \cdot \mathbf{B}^1) + \mathbf{U} \cdot \nabla (\overline{\mathbf{B}} \cdot \mathbf{B}^1) = \overline{\mathbf{B}} \cdot (\partial_t \mathbf{B}^1 + (\mathbf{U} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{B}^1)$$
  
=  $\overline{\mathbf{B}} \cdot ((\mathbf{B}^1 \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{U} + \zeta(\overline{\vartheta}) \nabla^2 \mathbf{B}^1)$   
=  $\underbrace{(\mathbf{B}^1 \cdot \nabla) (\overline{\mathbf{B}} \cdot \mathbf{U})}_{=0 \text{ by } \overline{\mathbf{B}} \perp \mathbf{U}} + \zeta(\overline{\vartheta}) \nabla^2 (\overline{\mathbf{B}} \cdot \mathbf{B}^1)$ 

Model and known results OO	Formal derivation	Rigorous proof OO	Comparison with known results	The last slide 00
Target system	ו			

Some modifications for magnetic field:

$$0 = \partial_t \mathbf{B}^1 + \operatorname{curl}(\mathbf{B}^1 \times \mathbf{U}) + \operatorname{curl}(\zeta(\overline{\vartheta}) \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{B}^1)$$
  
=  $\partial_t \mathbf{B}^1 + (\mathbf{U} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{B}^1 - (\mathbf{B}^1 \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{U} - \zeta(\overline{\vartheta}) \nabla^2 \mathbf{B}^1$ 

Hence, also

$$\partial_t (\overline{\mathbf{B}} \cdot \mathbf{B}^1) + \mathbf{U} \cdot \nabla (\overline{\mathbf{B}} \cdot \mathbf{B}^1) = \overline{\mathbf{B}} \cdot (\partial_t \mathbf{B}^1 + (\mathbf{U} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{B}^1)$$
  
=  $\overline{\mathbf{B}} \cdot ((\mathbf{B}^1 \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{U} + \zeta(\overline{\vartheta}) \nabla^2 \mathbf{B}^1)$   
=  $\underbrace{(\mathbf{B}^1 \cdot \nabla) (\overline{\mathbf{B}} \cdot \mathbf{U})}_{=0 \text{ by } \overline{\mathbf{B}} \perp \mathbf{U}} + \zeta(\overline{\vartheta}) \nabla^2 (\overline{\mathbf{B}} \cdot \mathbf{B}^1)$ 

Final HE:

$$\begin{split} \overline{\varrho} c_{\rho}(\partial_{t} \vartheta^{1} + \mathbf{U} \cdot \nabla \vartheta^{1}) &- \overline{\varrho} \overline{\vartheta} \alpha \mathbf{U} \cdot \nabla \mathcal{G} + \overline{\vartheta} \alpha \zeta \nabla^{2} (\overline{\mathbf{B}} \cdot \mathbf{B}^{1}) - \kappa \nabla^{2} \vartheta^{1} \\ &= \overline{\vartheta} \alpha \partial_{\vartheta} \rho(\overline{\varrho}, \overline{\vartheta}) \partial_{t} \int_{D} \vartheta^{1} \, \mathrm{d} x \end{split}$$

Model and known results	Formal derivation	Rigorous proof	Comparison with known results	The last slide
OO		●O	0000	00
Relative energ	5y			

$$E\left(\varrho,\vartheta,\mathbf{u},\mathbf{B}\mid r,\Theta,\mathbf{U},\mathbf{H}\right) = \frac{1}{2}\varrho|\mathbf{u}-\mathbf{U}|^{2} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}}\frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{B}-\mathbf{H}|^{2}$$
$$+ \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}}\left[\varrho e(\varrho,\vartheta) - \Theta\left(\varrho s(\varrho,\vartheta) - rs(r,\Theta)\right)\right]$$
$$- \left(e(r,\Theta) - \Theta s(r,\Theta) + \frac{p(r,\Theta)}{r}\right)(\varrho-r) - re(r,\Theta)\right]$$

Model and known results OO	Formal derivation	Rigorous proof ●O	Comparison with known results	The last slide 00
Relative energ	5y			

$$\begin{split} & \left[ \int_{D} \mathbf{E} \left( \varrho, \vartheta, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{B} \middle| \mathbf{r}, \Theta, \mathbf{U}, \mathbf{H} \right) \, \mathrm{dx} \right]_{t=0}^{t=\tau} \\ & + \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{D} \frac{\Theta}{\vartheta} \left( \mathbb{S}(\vartheta, \nabla \mathbf{u}) : \nabla \mathbf{u} - \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}} \frac{\mathbf{q}(\vartheta, \nabla \vartheta) \cdot \nabla \vartheta}{\vartheta} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}} \zeta(\vartheta) |\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{B}|^{2} \right) \, \mathrm{dx} \, \mathrm{dt} \\ & \leq - \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{D} \left( \varrho(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{U}) \otimes (\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{U}) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}} \rho(\varrho, \vartheta) \mathbb{I} - \mathbb{S}(\vartheta, \nabla \mathbf{u}) \right) : \nabla \mathbf{U} \, \mathrm{dx} \, \mathrm{dt} \\ & - \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}} \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{D} \left( \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{B} \right) \cdot \mathbf{U} \, \mathrm{dx} \, \mathrm{dt} \\ & - \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{D} \varrho \left( \partial_{t} \mathbf{U} + \mathbf{U} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{U} - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \nabla G \right) \cdot (\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{U}) \, \mathrm{dx} \, \mathrm{dt} \\ & - \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}} \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{D} \left( \varrho \left( s(\varrho, \vartheta) - s(r, \Theta) \right) \right) \partial_{t} \Theta + \varrho \left( s(\varrho, \vartheta) - s(r, \Theta) \right) \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \Theta + \frac{\mathbf{q}(\vartheta, \nabla \vartheta)}{\vartheta} \cdot \nabla \Theta \right) \, \mathrm{dx} \, \mathrm{dt} \\ & + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}} \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{D} \left( \left( \left( 1 - \frac{\varrho}{r} \right) \partial_{t} \rho(r, \Theta) - \frac{\varrho}{r} \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \rho(r, \Theta) \right) \, \mathrm{dx} \, \mathrm{dt} \\ & - \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}} \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{D} \left( \mathbf{B} \cdot \partial_{t} \mathbf{H} - (\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{u}) \cdot \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{H} - \zeta(\vartheta) \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{B} \cdot \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{H} \right) \, \mathrm{dx} \, \mathrm{dt} \end{split}$$

Model and known results OO	Formal derivation	Rigorous proof ●O	Comparison with known results	The last slide 00
Relative energ	ΣV			

$$\begin{bmatrix} \int_{D} E\left(\varrho, \vartheta, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{B} \middle| r, \Theta, \mathbf{U}, \mathbf{H} \right) dx \end{bmatrix}_{t=0}^{t=\tau} + \text{sth. non-neg.}$$
  
 
$$\leqslant \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{D} \text{sth}\left(\varrho, \vartheta, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{B} \middle| r, \Theta, \mathbf{U}, \mathbf{H} \right) dx dt$$

Model and known results	Formal derivation	Rigorous proof	Comparison with known results	The last slide
OO		●O	0000	00
Relative energ	şy			

$$\begin{bmatrix} \int_{D} E\left(\varrho, \vartheta, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{B} \middle| r, \Theta, \mathbf{U}, \mathbf{H} \right) dx \end{bmatrix}_{t=0}^{t=\tau} + \text{sth. non-neg.}$$
  
 
$$\leqslant \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{D} \text{sth}\left(\varrho, \vartheta, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{B} \middle| r, \Theta, \mathbf{U}, \mathbf{H} \right) dx dt$$

Goal: Grönwall argument, once getting

$$\left[ \int_{D} E\left( \varrho, \vartheta, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{B} \middle| r, \Theta, \mathbf{U}, \mathbf{H} \right) dx \right]_{t=0}^{t=\tau} + \text{sth. non-neg.}$$
  
$$\leq C \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{D} E\left( \varrho, \vartheta, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{B} \middle| r, \Theta, \mathbf{U}, \mathbf{H} \right) dx dt + \text{small error}$$

Model and known results	Formal derivation	Rigorous proof	Comparison with known results	The last slide
OO		●O	0000	00
Relative energ	şy			

$$\begin{bmatrix} \int_{D} E\left(\varrho, \vartheta, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{B} \middle| r, \Theta, \mathbf{U}, \mathbf{H} \right) dx \end{bmatrix}_{t=0}^{t=\tau} + \text{sth. non-neg.}$$
  
 
$$\leqslant \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{D} \text{sth}\left(\varrho, \vartheta, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{B} \middle| r, \Theta, \mathbf{U}, \mathbf{H} \right) dx dt$$

Goal: Grönwall argument, once getting

$$\left[\int_{D} E\left(\varrho, \vartheta, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{B} \middle| r, \Theta, \mathbf{U}, \mathbf{H}\right) dx\right]_{t=0}^{t=\tau} + \text{sth. non-neg.}$$
  
$$\leqslant C \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{D} E\left(\varrho, \vartheta, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{B} \middle| r, \Theta, \mathbf{U}, \mathbf{H}\right) dx dt + \text{small error}$$

Idea: Consider

$$E_{\varepsilon} = E\left(\varrho_{\varepsilon}, \vartheta_{\varepsilon}, \mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon}, \mathbf{B}_{\varepsilon} \middle| \overline{\varrho} + \varepsilon \varrho^{1}, \overline{\vartheta} + \varepsilon \vartheta^{1}, \mathbf{U}, \overline{\mathbf{B}} + \varepsilon \mathbf{B}^{1}\right)$$

Model and known results OO	Formal derivation	Rigorous proof	Comparison with known results	The last slide 00
Convergence				

Outcome:

$$\left[\int_{D} E_{\varepsilon} \, \mathrm{d}x\right]_{t=0}^{t=\tau} + \mathsf{sth. non-neg.} \leqslant C \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{D} E_{\varepsilon} \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}t + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon),$$

leading to

$$\int_{D} E_{\varepsilon}(\tau) \, \mathrm{d} x \leq C \int_{D} E_{\varepsilon}(0) \, \mathrm{d} x + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon);$$

Model and known results OO	Formal derivation	Rigorous proof O●	Comparison with known results	The last slide 00
Convergence				

Outcome:

$$\left[\int_{D} E_{\varepsilon} \, \mathrm{d}x\right]_{t=0}^{t=\tau} + \mathsf{sth. non-neg.} \leqslant C \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{D} E_{\varepsilon} \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}t + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon),$$

leading to

$$\int_{D} E_{\varepsilon}(\tau) \, \mathrm{d} x \leqslant C \int_{D} E_{\varepsilon}(0) \, \mathrm{d} x + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon);$$

hence, for any  $\tau \in (0, T)$ , if  $\int_D E_{\varepsilon}(0) \, \mathrm{d} x \to 0$ , then

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\int_D E_\varepsilon(\tau)\,\,\mathrm{d} x=0,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &(\mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon},\vartheta_{\varepsilon},\mathbf{B}_{\varepsilon}) \to (\mathbf{U},\overline{\vartheta},\overline{\mathbf{B}}) \text{ in } L^{2}(0,T;W^{1,2}(D)), \quad \varrho_{\varepsilon} \to \overline{\varrho} \text{ in } L^{\infty}(0,T;L^{2}(D)), \\ &\left(\frac{\varrho_{\varepsilon}-\overline{\varrho}}{\varepsilon},\frac{\vartheta_{\varepsilon}-\overline{\vartheta}}{\varepsilon},\frac{\mathbf{B}_{\varepsilon}-\overline{\mathbf{B}}}{\varepsilon}\right) \to (\varrho^{1},\vartheta^{1},\mathbf{B}^{1}) \text{ in } L^{2}((0,T)\times D) \end{aligned}$$

Model and known results	Formal derivation	Rigorous proof	Comparison with known results	The last slide
OO		OO	●000	00
Scalings				

$$\mathrm{Al} = \frac{u_c}{B_c/\sqrt{\varrho_c}} = \frac{u_c}{c_A}, \quad \mathrm{Ma} = \frac{u_c}{\sqrt{p_c/\varrho_c}} = \frac{u_c}{c_s}, \quad \mathrm{Fr} = \frac{u_c}{\sqrt{gL_c}}$$

Model and known results	Formal derivation	Rigorous proof	Comparison with known results	The last slide
OO		OO	●000	00
Scalings				

$$Al = \frac{u_c}{B_c/\sqrt{\varrho_c}} = \frac{u_c}{c_A}, \quad Ma = \frac{u_c}{\sqrt{p_c/\varrho_c}} = \frac{u_c}{c_s}, \quad Fr = \frac{u_c}{\sqrt{gL_c}}$$

• Usual Boussinesq scaling:  $\varepsilon_1 = Ma = \frac{u_c}{c_s} \left( = \frac{\Delta \varrho}{\overline{\varrho}} \right), \ u_c = \varepsilon_1^{\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{gL_c}$ 

Model and known results	Formal derivation	Rigorous proof	Comparison with known results	The last slide
OO		OO	●000	00
Scalings				

$$\mathrm{Al} = \frac{u_c}{B_c/\sqrt{\varrho_c}} = \frac{u_c}{c_A}, \quad \mathrm{Ma} = \frac{u_c}{\sqrt{p_c/\varrho_c}} = \frac{u_c}{c_s}, \quad \mathrm{Fr} = \frac{u_c}{\sqrt{gL_c}}$$

- Usual Boussinesq scaling:  $\varepsilon_1 = Ma = \frac{u_c}{c_s} (= \frac{\Delta \varrho}{\overline{\varrho}})$ ,  $u_c = \varepsilon_1^{\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{gL_c}$
- Spiegel/Weiss, and Bowker/Hughes/Kersalé:  $u_c \ll c_s$  and  $c_A \sim u_c$ , so set  $\varepsilon_2 = \frac{c_A^2}{c_s^2} \ll 1$ . Then  $u_c \sim \varepsilon_2^{\frac{1}{2}} c_s$ , and  $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \equiv \varepsilon$ ; in turn

Al = 1, 
$$Ma = \varepsilon$$
,  $Fr = \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}$ 

Model and known results	Formal derivation	Rigorous proof	Comparison with known results	The last slide
OO		OO	●000	00
Scalings				

$$\mathrm{Al} = \frac{u_c}{B_c/\sqrt{\varrho_c}} = \frac{u_c}{c_A}, \quad \mathrm{Ma} = \frac{u_c}{\sqrt{p_c/\varrho_c}} = \frac{u_c}{c_s}, \quad \mathrm{Fr} = \frac{u_c}{\sqrt{gL_c}}$$

- Usual Boussinesq scaling:  $\varepsilon_1 = Ma = \frac{u_c}{c_s} (= \frac{\Delta \varrho}{\overline{\varrho}})$ ,  $u_c = \varepsilon_1^{\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{gL_c}$
- Spiegel/Weiss, and Bowker/Hughes/Kersalé:  $u_c \ll c_s$  and  $c_A \sim u_c$ , so set  $\varepsilon_2 = \frac{c_A^2}{c_s^2} \ll 1$ . Then  $u_c \sim \varepsilon_2^{\frac{1}{2}} c_s$ , and  $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \equiv \varepsilon$ ; in turn

Al = 1, 
$$Ma = \varepsilon$$
,  $Fr = \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}$ 

• Our case:  $u_c \ll c_s$  and  $c_A \sim c_s$ ; thus, with  $\tilde{\varepsilon}_2 = \frac{u_c}{c_A} \ll 1$ , get  $u_c = \varepsilon_1 c_s = \tilde{\varepsilon}_2 c_A \sim \tilde{\varepsilon}_2 c_s$ , hence  $\varepsilon_1 = \tilde{\varepsilon}_2 \equiv \varepsilon$  and

$$Al = \varepsilon,$$
  $Ma = \varepsilon,$   $Fr = \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}.$ 

Model and known results	Formal derivation	Rigorous proof	Comparison with known results	The last slide
OO		OO	O●OO	00
"Mathematica	l" magneto	-OB		

Recall our target system:

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{U} &= 0, \quad \operatorname{div} \mathbf{B} = 0, \\ \overline{\varrho}(\partial_t \mathbf{U} + (\mathbf{U} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{U}) - \mu \nabla^2 \mathbf{U} + \nabla \Pi = \varrho \nabla G, \\ \partial_t \mathbf{B} + (\mathbf{U} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{B} - (\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{U} - \zeta \nabla^2 \mathbf{B} = 0, \\ \overline{\varrho} c_p (\partial_t \vartheta + \mathbf{U} \cdot \nabla \vartheta) - \overline{\varrho} \overline{\vartheta} \alpha \mathbf{U} \cdot \nabla G + \overline{\vartheta} \alpha \zeta \nabla^2 (\overline{\mathbf{B}} \cdot \mathbf{B}) - \kappa \nabla^2 \vartheta \\ &= \overline{\vartheta} \alpha \partial_\vartheta p(\overline{\varrho}, \overline{\vartheta}) \partial_t \int_D \vartheta \, \mathrm{d}x, \\ \partial_\varrho p(\overline{\varrho}, \overline{\vartheta}) \varrho + \partial_\vartheta p(\overline{\varrho}, \overline{\vartheta}) \vartheta + \overline{\mathbf{B}} \cdot \mathbf{B} = \overline{\varrho} G + \partial_\vartheta p(\overline{\varrho}, \overline{\vartheta}) \int_D \vartheta \, \mathrm{d}x \end{aligned}$$

Model and known results OO	Formal derivation	Rigorous proof OO	Comparison with known results	The last slide 00
"Physical"	magneto-OB			

(See Spiegel/Weiss: "Magnetic Buoyancy and the Boussinesq Approximation", 1982; Bowker/Hughes/Kersalé "Incorporating velocity shear into the magneto-Boussinesq approximation", 2014):

$$\operatorname{div} \mathbf{U} = 0, \quad \operatorname{div} \mathbf{B} = 0,$$
$$\overline{\varrho}(\partial_t \mathbf{U} + (\mathbf{U} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{U}) - \mu \nabla^2 \mathbf{U} + \nabla \Pi = -\varrho g \mathbf{e}_3 + (\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{B},$$
$$\partial_t \mathbf{B} + (\mathbf{U} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{B} - (\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{U} - \zeta \nabla^2 \mathbf{B} = -H_{\varrho}^{-1}U_3\mathbf{B},$$
$$\overline{\varrho}c_{\rho}(\partial_t \vartheta + \mathbf{U} \cdot \nabla \vartheta) - (\partial_t p + \mathbf{U} \cdot \nabla p) - \kappa \nabla^2 \vartheta = -U_3\beta,$$
$$p = R\varrho\vartheta, \quad \Pi = p + p_m = R\varrho\vartheta + \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{B}|^2,$$
$$\partial_t p + \mathbf{U} \cdot \nabla p = -\overline{\varrho}gU_3 - (\partial_t p_m + \mathbf{U} \cdot \nabla p_m),$$
$$\partial_t p_m + \mathbf{U} \cdot \nabla p_m = \mathbf{B} \cdot [(\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{U} + \zeta \nabla^2 \mathbf{B}]$$

Model and known results	Formal derivation	Rigorous proof	Comparison with known results	The last slide
OO		OO	00●0	00
"Physical" m	ogneto OR			

(See Spiegel/Weiss: "Magnetic Buoyancy and the Boussinesq Approximation", 1982; Bowker/Hughes/Kersalé "Incorporating velocity shear into the magneto-Boussinesq approximation", 2014):

ъ

$$\operatorname{div} \mathbf{U} = 0, \quad \operatorname{div} \mathbf{B} = 0,$$
$$\overline{\varrho}(\partial_t \mathbf{U} + (\mathbf{U} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{U}) - \mu \nabla^2 \mathbf{U} + \nabla \Pi = -\varrho g \mathbf{e}_3 + (\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{B},$$
$$\partial_t \mathbf{B} + (\mathbf{U} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{B} - (\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{U} - \zeta \nabla^2 \mathbf{B} = -H_{\varrho}^{-1}U_3\mathbf{B},$$
$$\overline{\varrho}c_p(\partial_t \vartheta + \mathbf{U} \cdot \nabla \vartheta) - (\partial_t p + \mathbf{U} \cdot \nabla p) - \kappa \nabla^2 \vartheta = -U_3\beta,$$
$$p = R\varrho \vartheta, \quad \Pi = p + p_m = R\varrho \vartheta + \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{B}|^2,$$
$$\partial_t p + \mathbf{U} \cdot \nabla p = -\overline{\varrho}g U_3 - (\partial_t p_m + \mathbf{U} \cdot \nabla p_m),$$
$$\partial_t p_m + \mathbf{U} \cdot \nabla p_m = \mathbf{B} \cdot [(\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{U} + \zeta \nabla^2 \mathbf{B}]$$

For us:  $(\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{B} = b(t, x_1, x_2) \cdot \partial_3 b(t, x_1, x_2) = 0$ ,  $-g\mathbf{e}_3 = \nabla[x \mapsto -gx_3] = \nabla G$ ,  $H_{\varrho}^{-1} = -\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}z}\log(\overline{\varrho}) = 0$ ,  $\beta = \overline{\vartheta}\gamma^{-1}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}z}\log(\overline{\rho}\ \overline{\varrho}^{-\gamma}) = 0 \Rightarrow \mathrm{CE}$ , ME, IE consistent!

Model and known results	Formal derivation	Rigorous proof	Comparison with known results	The last slide
OO		OO	000●	00
Comparison	of HE			

 $\overline{\varrho}c_{\rho}(\partial_{t}\vartheta + \mathbf{U}\cdot\nabla\vartheta) - \overline{\varrho}\overline{\vartheta}\alpha\mathbf{U}\cdot\nabla\mathcal{G} + \overline{\vartheta}\alpha\zeta\nabla^{2}(\overline{\mathbf{B}}\cdot\mathbf{B}) - \kappa\nabla^{2}\vartheta = \overline{\vartheta}\alpha\partial_{\vartheta}\rho(\overline{\varrho},\overline{\vartheta})\partial_{t}\int_{D}\vartheta \,\mathrm{d}x$ 

Physical HE (according to Spiegel/Weiss):

$$\begin{split} \overline{\varrho}c_{p}(\partial_{t}\vartheta + \mathbf{U}\cdot\nabla\vartheta) - (\partial_{t}p + \mathbf{U}\cdot\nabla p) - \kappa\nabla^{2}\vartheta &= 0, \\ p &= R\varrho\vartheta, \quad \Pi = p + p_{m} = R\varrho\vartheta + \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{B}|^{2}, \\ \partial_{t}p + \mathbf{U}\cdot\nabla p &= -\overline{\varrho}gU_{3} - (\partial_{t}p_{m} + \mathbf{U}\cdot\nabla p_{m}), \\ \partial_{t}p_{m} + \mathbf{U}\cdot\nabla p_{m} &= \mathbf{B}\cdot[(\mathbf{B}\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{U} + \zeta\nabla^{2}\mathbf{B}] \end{split}$$

Model and known results	Formal derivation	Rigorous proof	Comparison with known results	The last slide
OO		OO	000●	00
Comparison	of HF			

$$\overline{\varrho}c_{\rho}(\partial_{t}\vartheta + \mathbf{U}\cdot\nabla\vartheta) - \overline{\varrho}\overline{\vartheta}\alpha\mathbf{U}\cdot\nabla G + \overline{\vartheta}\alpha\zeta\nabla^{2}(\overline{\mathbf{B}}\cdot\mathbf{B}) - \kappa\nabla^{2}\vartheta = \overline{\vartheta}\alpha\partial_{\vartheta}\rho(\overline{\varrho},\overline{\vartheta})\partial_{t}\int_{D}\vartheta \,\mathrm{d}x$$

Physical HE (according to Spiegel/Weiss):

$$\overline{\varrho}c_{\rho}(\partial_{t}\vartheta + \mathbf{U}\cdot\nabla\vartheta) + \overline{\varrho}gU_{3} + \mathbf{B}\cdot[(\mathbf{B}\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{U} + \zeta\nabla^{2}\mathbf{B}] - \kappa\nabla^{2}\vartheta = 0,$$

Model and known results	Formal derivation	Rigorous proof	Comparison with known results	The last slide
OO		OO	000●	00
Comparison	of HF			

$$\overline{\varrho}c_{\rho}(\partial_{t}\vartheta + \mathbf{U}\cdot\nabla\vartheta) - \overline{\varrho}\overline{\vartheta}\alpha\mathbf{U}\cdot\nabla G + \overline{\vartheta}\alpha\zeta\nabla^{2}(\overline{\mathbf{B}}\cdot\mathbf{B}) - \kappa\nabla^{2}\vartheta = \overline{\vartheta}\alpha\partial_{\vartheta}\rho(\overline{\varrho},\overline{\vartheta})\partial_{t}\int_{D}\vartheta \,\mathrm{d}x$$

Physical HE (according to Spiegel/Weiss):

$$\overline{\varrho}c_{\rho}(\partial_{t}\vartheta + \mathbf{U}\cdot\nabla\vartheta) - \overline{\varrho}\mathbf{U}\cdot\nabla G + \overline{\mathbf{B}}\cdot[(\mathbf{B}\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{U} + \zeta\nabla^{2}\mathbf{B}] - \kappa\nabla^{2}\vartheta = 0$$

Model and known results	Formal derivation	Rigorous proof	Comparison with known results	The last slide
OO		OO	000●	00
Comparison	of HE			

$$\overline{\varrho}c_{\rho}(\partial_{t}\vartheta + \mathbf{U}\cdot\nabla\vartheta) - \overline{\varrho}\overline{\vartheta}\alpha\mathbf{U}\cdot\nabla G + \overline{\vartheta}\alpha\zeta\nabla^{2}(\overline{\mathbf{B}}\cdot\mathbf{B}) - \kappa\nabla^{2}\vartheta = \overline{\vartheta}\alpha\partial_{\vartheta}\rho(\overline{\varrho},\overline{\vartheta})\partial_{t}\int_{D}\vartheta \,\mathrm{d}x$$

Physical HE (according to Spiegel/Weiss):  $\overline{\varrho}c_{\rho}(\partial_{t}\vartheta + \mathbf{U}\cdot\nabla\vartheta) - \overline{\varrho}\mathbf{U}\cdot\nabla G + [(\mathbf{B}\cdot\nabla)\underbrace{(\mathbf{\overline{B}}\cdot\mathbf{U})}_{=0} + \zeta\nabla^{2}(\mathbf{\overline{B}}\cdot\mathbf{B})] - \kappa\nabla^{2}\vartheta = 0$ 

Model and known results	Formal derivation	Rigorous proof	Comparison with known results	The last slide
OO		OO	000●	00
Comparison	of HE			

$$\overline{\varrho}c_{p}(\partial_{t}\vartheta + \mathbf{U}\cdot\nabla\vartheta) - \overline{\varrho}\overline{\vartheta}\alpha\mathbf{U}\cdot\nabla G + \overline{\vartheta}\alpha\zeta\nabla^{2}(\overline{\mathbf{B}}\cdot\mathbf{B}) - \kappa\nabla^{2}\vartheta = \overline{\vartheta}\alpha\partial_{\vartheta}p(\overline{\varrho},\overline{\vartheta})\partial_{t}\int_{D}\vartheta \,\,\mathrm{d}x$$

Physical HE (according to Spiegel/Weiss):

$$\overline{\varrho}c_{\rho}(\partial_{t}\vartheta + \mathbf{U}\cdot\nabla\vartheta) - \overline{\varrho}\mathbf{U}\cdot\nabla G + \zeta\nabla^{2}(\overline{\mathbf{B}}\cdot\mathbf{B}) - \kappa\nabla^{2}\vartheta = 0$$

Recall  $p = R\varrho\vartheta$  such that  $\overline{\vartheta}\alpha = \frac{\overline{\vartheta}}{\overline{\varrho}} \frac{\partial_{\vartheta} p(\overline{\varrho}, \overline{\vartheta})}{\partial_{\varrho} p(\overline{\varrho}, \overline{\vartheta})} = 1$ , so 1:1 the same up to non-local term

Model and known results	Formal derivation	Rigorous proof	Comparison with known results	The last slide
OO		OO	000●	00
Comparison	of HE			

$$\overline{\varrho}c_{\rho}(\partial_{t}\vartheta + \mathbf{U}\cdot\nabla\vartheta) - \overline{\varrho}\overline{\vartheta}\alpha\mathbf{U}\cdot\nabla G + \overline{\vartheta}\alpha\zeta\nabla^{2}(\overline{\mathbf{B}}\cdot\mathbf{B}) - \kappa\nabla^{2}\vartheta = \overline{\vartheta}\alpha\partial_{\vartheta}\rho(\overline{\varrho},\overline{\vartheta})\partial_{t}\int_{D}\vartheta \,\mathrm{d}x$$

Physical HE (according to Spiegel/Weiss):

$$\overline{\varrho}c_{\rho}(\partial_{t}\vartheta + \mathbf{U}\cdot\nabla\vartheta) - \overline{\varrho}\mathbf{U}\cdot\nabla G + \zeta\nabla^{2}(\overline{\mathbf{B}}\cdot\mathbf{B}) - \kappa\nabla^{2}\vartheta = 0$$

Recall  $p = R\varrho\vartheta$  such that  $\overline{\vartheta}\alpha = \frac{\overline{\vartheta}}{\overline{\varrho}} \frac{\partial_{\vartheta} p(\overline{\varrho}, \overline{\vartheta})}{\partial_{\varrho} p(\overline{\varrho}, \overline{\vartheta})} = 1$ , so 1:1 the same *up to* non-local term  $\rightarrow$  no boundary conditions in Spiegel/Weiss

Model and known results	Formal derivation	Rigorous proof	Comparison with known results	The last slide
OO		OO	0000	●O
Summary				

• Formal and rigorous proof of magneto-Boussinesq with Dirichlet temperature boundary conditions

Model and known results OO	Formal derivation	Rigorous proof OO	Comparison with known results	The last slide ●O
Summary				

- Formal and rigorous proof of magneto-Boussinesq with Dirichlet temperature boundary conditions
- Completely consistent with Spiegel/Weiss and Bowker/Hughes/Kersalé (special case  $G = -gx_3$ ,  $p = R\varrho\vartheta$ , no BC, different measuring of  $c_A$ )

Model and known results OO	Formal derivation	Rigorous proof 00	Comparison with known results	The last slide ●O
Summary				

- Formal and rigorous proof of magneto-Boussinesq with Dirichlet temperature boundary conditions
- Completely consistent with Spiegel/Weiss and Bowker/Hughes/Kersalé (special case  $G = -gx_3$ ,  $p = R\varrho\vartheta$ , no BC, different measuring of  $c_A$ )
- Wider class of pressure functions, non-local term

Model and known results OO	Formal derivation	Rigorous proof 00	Comparison with known results	The last slide ●O
Summary				

- Formal and rigorous proof of magneto-Boussinesq with Dirichlet temperature boundary conditions
- Completely consistent with Spiegel/Weiss and Bowker/Hughes/Kersalé (special case  $G = -gx_3$ ,  $p = R\varrho\vartheta$ , no BC, different measuring of  $c_A$ )
- Wider class of pressure functions, non-local term

## Dziękuję za uwagę!

Model and known results OO	Formal derivation	Rigorous proof OO	Comparison with known results	The last slide O●
References				

- EA Spiegel, NO Weiss, Magnetic buoyancy and the Boussinesq approximation, Geophysical & Astrophysical Fluid Dynamics 22 (1982), no. 3-4, 219–234.
- [2] Jordan A Bowker, David W Hughes, Evy Kersalé, *Incorporating velocity shear into the magneto-Boussinesq approximation*, Geophysical & Astrophysical Fluid Dynamics **108** (2014), no. 5, 553–567.
- [3] Peter Bella, Eduard Feireisl, Florian Oschmann, *Rigorous derivation of the Oberbeck–Boussinesq approximation revealing unexpected term*, Communications in Mathematical Physics 403 (2023), no. 3, 1245–1273.